

100 Barr Harbor Drive • West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959 Telephone: 610-832-9500 • Fax: 610-832-9555 • e-mail: service@astm.org • Website: www.astm.org

### **Committee D02 on PETROLEUM PRODUCTS AND LUBRICANTS**

Chairman: N DAVID SMITH, North Carolina Dept Of Agric, 2 West Edenton St, PO Box 27647, Raleigh, NC 27611, (919) 733-3313, FAX:919-715-0524, EMail: david\_smith@ncdamail.agr.state.nc.us First Vice Chairman: SUSAN E. LITKA, UOP Research Center, 50 East Algonquin Road, PO Box 5016, Des Plaines, IL 60017-5016, (847) 391-3390, FAX: 847-391-3330 Second Vice Chairman: KURT H. STRAUSS, 69 Brookside Rd, Portland, ME 04103, (207) 773-4380, FAX: 207-775-6214 Secretary: KENNETH O. HENDERSON, Cannon Instrument Co, PO Box 16, State College, PA 16804-0016, (814) 353-8000, FAX: 814-353-8007, EMail: kenohenderson@worldnet.att.net Assistant Secretary: W JAMES BOVER, Exxon Biomedical Sciences, Mettlers Rd Cn2350, East Millstone, NJ 08875-2350, (732) 873-6318, FAX: 732-873-6009, EMail: james.bover@ere.exxon.sprint.c Staff Manager: EARL R. SULLIVAN, (610) 832-9709, EMail: esulliva@astm.org

February 4, 2003

Please forward any comments to: Fred Gerhart Southwest Research Institute Email: <u>fgerhart@swri.org</u>

## Unconfirmed Minutes from the ASTM Sequence VI Surveillance Panel Held in San Antonio, TX November 20, 2002

This document is not an ASTM Standard; it is under consideration within an ASTM technical committee but has not received all approvals required to become an ASTM Standard. It shall not be reproduced or circulated or quoted, in whole or part, outside of the ASTM committee activities, except with approval of the Chairman of the Committee with jurisdiction and the President of the Society. *Copyright ASTM*, 1916 Race Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103. All Rights Reserved.

#### **Call to Order**

Chairman Charlie Leverett opened the Surveillance Panel meeting. The agenda was distributed and is included as *Attachment #1*.

#### **Action Item and Motion Recorder**

Ben Weber of Southwest Research Institute, is the action item and motion recorder for this Surveillance Panel meeting. The motions and action items are included as *Attachment #2*.

#### Attendance Roster

The attendance roster is included as *Attachment #3*. Fifteen of seventeen voting members were present.

#### **Membership Changes**

Larry Hamilton is the voting member representing the Lubrizol Corporation for this meeting only. Bob Olree is the voting member representing General Motors Research & Development for this meeting only.

#### **Approval of Minutes**

The minutes for the May 2002 meeting were unanimously approved as posted on the TMC web site. Minutes are not mailed but are available at the TMC Web Site at: <u>ftp://ftp.astmtmc.cmu.edu/docs/gas/sequencevi/minutes/</u> These minutes are in pdf format. Any questions concerning the access of these documents should be directed to TMC. Once these minutes are posted the secretary will inform all members and others currently on the mailing list by electronic mail of these postings. Please forward any changes that may occur to your electronic mail address to: <u>mailto:fgerhart@swri.org</u>

## **TMC Reports**

#### **Semi Annual Report**

Rich Grundza presented the Semiannual Reports for the Sequence VIB. The Sequence VIB report for this period is included as *Attachment #4* and may also be found on the TMC web site at the following link: <u>ftp://ftp.astmtmc.cmu.edu/docs/gas/sequencevi/semiannualreports/</u>

A summary of this report is:

• Laboratory/Stand Distribution

Seven laboratories reported twenty-two stand/engine combinations during the report period. Six laboratories with thirteen stand/engine combinations are currently calibrated.

• Reference Test Summary

Calibration Start Outcomes	TMC Validity Code	No. of Tests
Operationally and Statistically Acceptable	AC	36
Failed Acceptance Criteria	OC	19
Operationally Invalid (Laboratory Judgment)	LC	3
Aborted	XC	3
Total		61

65% of the failures were on new engines

Second highest occurrence of lost tests since beginning of monitoring

• LTMS stand alarms

73% Severe YI79% - FEI 1Panel to be notified by S.P. chair when LTMS action alarms occur.

#### BC Verification Status - included as Attachment #5

One data point was repeated. Updated results indicate blend slightly less efficient than BC2 but it is acceptable.

Motion by Guy Stubbs and Seconded by Gordon Farnsworth - to accept BC5 verification runs as being acceptable for use. The motion passed with a unanimous vote.

#### 1008-1 Update

10 data points with FEI1 and FEI2 slightly milder than the targets for 1008.

**Category Reference Oil (539) Update** Ready to ship out. How to introduce? *Action Item – Charlie Leverett will coordinate with Rich Grundza to introduce 539 (the GF-3 10W30 category oil) throughout the testing laboratories.* 

D6837-02 Update

Should be issued Dec – Jan time frame.

## **RSI Report**

Rick Oliver gave this report, a copy may be found in *Attachment #6* Fifty-five tests were conducted during the reporting period. Of which, 34 were valid tests and seventeen tests were terminated by sponsor request. No replicate data was reported.

## **CPD Report**

Beto Araiza gave this report and a copy may be found in *Attachment #7*.
40 engines built on Aug 5, 2002
Engine sequence numbers from 369-409
Terry Tait from Ford cam drive systems components was present for the build.
Tensioner oiling holes were carefully checked.
Simtest oil pressure average was 47.3 psi using 5w30 oil.
TMC reviewed and approved data.
Some tensioners have failed in the field on fleet test.
Next build about February 2003.

## **Old Business**

- a.) Action items No active action items at this time.
- **b.**) Engine supply discussion estimate 100 engines will be needed for GF-4. Surveillance panel chair has received a draft proposal from AER.

Action Item - AER to supply a draft proposal to the Surveillance Panel for 100 additional engines to cover expected VIB usage for the life of GF-4.

#### **New Business**

- 1) Reference test used oil analysis any merit? Analysis is costly and may not be providing data that is useful. *Motion by Charlie Leverett and Seconded by Patrick Lei to delete CCS, MRV, Fuel Dilution and HFFR used oil analysis on all future reference oil tests. Effective immediately. All were in favor except for one waive. Motion carried.*
- Presentation by Guy Stubbs Cam chain tensioner arm wear and high blowby in July 02 builds. Included as *Attachment #8*.
  - a) Tensioner on engine 57 had high wear with only 325 hours. Oil pressure in Stage 1 was 208 kPa. Squirt hole on tensioner was clear. Replacement arms don't appear to perform better. Engine 42 with 1747 hours (R0072315) versus Engine 51 with 1004 hours (R07072998). Discussion by panel Chair queried panel when Guy first reported failure. No other failures were reported.

Could VIB test procedure conditions be responsible for failure modes? Oil pressure is driver for tension on chain guide.

Does surface finish on back of chain impact wear on chain guide?

Newer version of arms (2001) could be used if blocks could have an additional boss installed. AER is able to reclaim chain guides, arms, and chains on used engines from the field. These engines are high mileage and do not exhibit wear on these parts. New tensioners are installed. Squirt hole diameter is about 0.024 inches.

Blockage of the squirt hole may be responsible for failures.

One lab is able to pressurize their engines after each test and have noticed failures of tensioner. In each instance, the squirt hole has been found to be plugged by debris and can be cleared with a wire. However, subsequent failures on cleared tensioners still continue to occur at random.

One lab has experimented with increasing the squirt hole diameter to 0.049 inches and has had very good success. No clogging of these modified squirt holes. Oil pressure was reduced about 6% but did not impact the B.S.F.C. performance of the engine.

Pressure relief valve in the Racor filter may allow the engine to operate with unfiltered engine when starting the engine cold. This may explain why plugging still occurs on tensioners that have been cleared.

The current filter design does not contain any external bypass indicator.

The filter capacity may be too limited for the service required by the longer VIB test as compared to the VIA test length.

A bypass alarm kit is available for the filter. It is not a violation of the test procedure to use this bypass alarm kit.

Action Item – Charlie Leverett will get with Beto Ariza and David Wagner to put together a list of all the measurements that AER does for each engine build. The SP would like to see this list as soon as possible.

Action Item – Jason Bowden to send the Oberg tattle-tail information to the SP for possible use at the laboratories discretion.

Action Item – Berry Jecewski will see if there are any differences in the 2001 chain tensioner material versus what AER is using for the VIB engine builds.

Action Item – Berry Jecewski will verify the chain guide oiling orifice specification.

Action Item – Berry Jecewski to review any changes that might have occurred over the last couple of years in any of the components associated with the chain guides and oiling mechanisms.

b) SwRI has had two engines from the July 02 build with high blowby – 34.3 L/min and 21.6 L/min. Both measurements were taken after completion of engine break-in. Typical blowby is 10 L/min. One engine was torn down and had wide ring gaps 0.023 inch and deep top ring groove pistons. Photograph of two different pistons appeared to be the same with exception of perhaps a mold number. The photograph of the pistons is included in the minutes as Attachment # 9.

Discussion by panel – piston batch has not changed. The ring gap is not checked during assembly. Rings are manufactured by Perfect Circle and used out of the box. Has TMC been requested to review build data on these high blowby engines?

Action item – Rich Grundza to review build data on these high blowby engines.

Action item – David Wagner to check to see what the differences are between S1 and S2 pistons.

Action Item – all laboratories to advise David Wagner of how their use of August 2002 engines turns out.

Action Item – David Wagner to notify Charlie Leverett of upcoming engine batch shipments to the laboratories.

Action Item – David Wagner to measure the ring gaps for the next engine builds.

### **Review of Scope and Objectives**

The Scope and Objectives for this panel are shown in Attachment # 10.

#### Adjournment and Next Meeting

The next meeting will be at the call of the chairman. The meeting was adjourned

## Sequence VIB Surveillance Panel November 20, 2002

### San Antonio, TX

## <u>Agenda</u>

- 1.) Welcome (Chairman)
- 2.) Attendance Sign-in sheet distributed (Fred)
- 3.) Membership changes and/or additions. (Fred)
- 4.) Minutes Approval from May 14<sup>th</sup> 02 meeting (Fred)
- 5.) TMC Report (Rich)
  - a.) Semi annual report
  - b.) BC Verification status
  - c.) 1008-1 update
  - d.) Category reference oil ( 539 )
- 6.) RSI Report (Rick)
- 7.) Test Sponsor Report (Barry)
- 8.) CPD Report (Beto)
  - a.) July 2002 Build report

## 9.) Old Business

- a.) Action Items
- b.) Engine supply discussion
- 10.) New Business:
  - a.) Reference Test Used Oil Analysis, any merit?
  - b.) Presentation on cam chain tensioner arm wear and high blowby in July 02 build engines (Guy Stubbs)
- 11.) Review of Scope and Objectives
- 12.) Adjournment

## Attachment #2 Motions & Action Items VIB Surveillance Panel November 20, 2002 As Recorded at the Meeting by Ben Weber

- 3) [Guy S & Gordon F] Motion to accept BC5 verification runs as being acceptable for use. Passed unanimously.
- 4) Charlie L will coordinate with Rich G to introduce 539 (the GF-3 10W30 category oil) throughout the testing laboratories.
- 5) AER will be supplying a draft proposal to the Surveillance Panel for 100 additional engines to cover expected VIB usage for the life of GF-4.
- 6) [Charlie L & Patrick L] Motion to delete CCS, MRV, Fuel Dilution and HFFR used oil analysis on all future reference oil tests. Effective immediately. All were in favor except for one waive.
- 7) Charlie L will get with Beto A and David W to put together a list of all the measurements that AER does for each engine build. The SP would like to see this list as soon as possible.
- 8) Barry J will see if there were any differences in the 2001 chain tensioner material versus what AER is using for VIB engine builds.
- 9) Barry J will verify the chain guide oiling orifice specification.
- 10)Barry J will also review any other changes that might have occurred over the last couple of years in any of the components associated with the chain guides and oiling mechanisms.
- 11)Barry J reported that Ford has formed a task force to determine the root cause of the chain guide failures within 90 days.
- 12)Jason B will send the Oberg tattle-tail information to the SP for possible use at the lab's discretion.
- 13)Rich G will review the AER engine build data from Guy S's two engine failures.
- 14)David W will check to see what the differences are between S1 and S2 pistons.
- 15)David W requested that the other labs let him know how their use of August 2002 engines turns out.
- 16)David W will notify Charlie L of upcoming engine batch shipments to the labs.
- 17)David W will measure the ring gaps for the next engine builds.

	VOTING MEMB	BERSHIP	
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE / FAX / E-MAIL	ATTENDANCE
ARIAZO, BETO	Test Engineering, Inc,	Phone: (210)690-1958	Present
	12718 Cimarron Path	Fax: (210)690-1959	
	San Antonio, TX 78249	bariazo@TEI-NET.com	
BOWDEN, DWIGHT H.	OH Technologies, Inc.	Phone: (440)354-7007	Present
,	P.O. Box 5039	Fax: (440)354-7080	
	Mentor, OH 44061-5039	DHBOWDEN@OHTECH.COM	
CLARK, SID	General Motors Research &	Phone:(810)986-1929	Bob Olree for Sid
,	Development	Fax: (810)986-2094	Clark
	30500 Mound Rd./MC 480-106-160	sidney.l.clark@gm.com	
	Warren, MI 48090-9055		
CAUDILL, TIMOTHY	Ashland Inc.	Phone:(606)329-5708	Present
,	22 <sup>nd</sup> & Front Sts.	Fax:(606)329-3009	
	Ashland, KY 41101	TLCAUDILL@Ashland.Com	
DUFFY, F. R.	Chrysler	Phone: (248)576-7476	
,	CIMS 482-00-13	Fax: (248)576-7490	
	800 Chrysler Drive	FD13@chrvsler.com	
	Auburn Hills, MI 48326-2757	5	
FARNSWORTH, GORDON	Infineum USA L.P.	Phone: (908)474-3351	Present
R.	P.O. Box 735	Fax: (908)474-3637	
	Linden, NJ 07036	gordon.farnsworth@infineum.com	
FERNER, MARK	Pennzoil Quaker State	Phone: (281)363-8190	
	P.O. Box 7569	Fax: (281)363-8092 or 8002	
	The Woodlands, TX 77387	markferner@pzlqs.com	
GLAENZER, DAVID	Ethyl Petroleum Additives Inc.	Phone: (804) 788-5214	Present
<i>,</i>	500 Spring St.	Fax: (804) 788-6358	
	P.O. Box 2158	Dave Glaenzer@ethyl.com	
	Richmond, VA 23218-2158		
JECEWSKI, BARRY	Ford Motor Company	Phone: (313)594-6943	Present
	21500 Oakwood Blvd	Fax: (313)845-3169	
	POEE Bldg.	bjecewsk@ford.com	
	Rm. DR 167 MD 44		
	Dearborn, MI 48121-2053		
LAI, PATRICK	Imperial Oil Ltd. Of Canada	Phone: (519)339-5611	Present
	P.O. Box 3022	Fax: (519)339-5866	
	Sarnia, ONT N7T8C8	patrick.k.lai@esso.com	
	CANADA		
LEVERETT, CHARLIE	Perkin Elmer Automotive Research	Phone: (210)647-9422	Present
Surveillance Panel Chair	5404 Bandera Road	Fax: (210)523-4607	
	San Antonio, TX 78238	Charlie_Leverett@PerkinElmer.com	
GRUNDZA, RICH	ASTM TMC	Phone: (412)365-1031	Present
	6555 Penn Avenue	Fax: (412)365-1047	
	Pittsburgh, PA 15206-4489	reg@tmc.astmtmc.cmu.edu	
MONTEZ, ALFREDO	ORONITE Technology Group	Phone: (210)731-5604	Present
	4502 Centerview Drive, Suite 210	Fax: (210)731-5699	
	San Antonio, Texas 78228	ammn@chevrontexaco.com	
MOSHER, MARK	ExxonMobil	Phone: (856)224-2132	Present
	600 Billingsport Road	Fax: (856)224-3628	
	Paulsboro, NJ 08066	mark.r.mosher@exxonmobil.com	
STUBBS, GUY	Southwest Research Institute	Phone: (210)522-5039	Present
	6220 Culebra Rd.	Fax: (210)684-7523	
	P.O. Drawer 28510	gstubbs@swri.org	
	San Antonio, TX 78228-0510		
VUJICA, JOSEPH	Lubrizol Corp.	Phone: (440) 347-2058	Larry Hamilton
	29400 Lakeland Blvd.	Fax: (440) 347-4096	for Joseph Vujica
	wickliffe, OH 44092	<u>JSVU@IUDTIZOI.com</u>	Dress (
WALKER, DAVID	AEK Manufacturing	Pnone: (9/2) 41/-31/2	Present
		rax:	
		uaviuwaiker@aermig.com	

NON VOTING MEMBERSHIP AND GUESTS					
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE / FAX / E-MAIL	ATTENDANCE		
BUSCHER JR., WILLIAM	Buscher Consulting	Phone: (914)897-8069			
А.	P.O. Box 112	Fax: (914)897-8069			
	Hopewell Jct. NY 12533	BUSCHWA@AOL.COM			
CARTER, JIM	HALTERMAN	Phone: (517)347-4947	Present		
	2296 Hulett Rd.	Fax: (517)347-1024			
	Okemos, MI 48864	JECARTER@dow.com			
FARBER, FRANK	ASTM TMC	Phone: (412)365-1030	Present		
	6555 Penn Avenue	Fax: (412)365-1047			
	Pittsburgh, PA 15206-4489	fmf@tmc.astmtmc.cmu.edu			
FERNANDEZ, FRANK	Oronite Global Technology	Phone: (210)731-5603	Present		
	4502 Centerview Dr., Suite 210	Fax: (210)731-5699			
	San Antonio, TX 78228	ffer@chevrontexaco.com			
GERHART, FRED	Southwest Research Institute	Phone: (210)522-3842	Present		
Surveillance Panel	6220 Culebra Rd.	Fax: (210)684-7523			
Secretary	P.O. Drawer 28510	fgerhart@swri.org			
	San Antonio, TX 78228-0510				
HAMILTON, LARRY	Lubrizol Corporation	Phone: (440)347-2326	Present -Voting		
	29400 Lakeland Blvd.		for Joseph Vujica		
	Wickliffe, OH 44092	ldha@lubrizol.com	this meeting only		
OLIVER, RICK	2805 Beverly Drive	Phone: (972)724-2136	Present		
	Flower Mound, TX 75022				
		crickoliver@home.com			
WEBER, BEN	Southwest Research Institute	Phone: (210)522-5911	Present		
Motion and Action Items	6220 Culebra Rd.	Fax: (210)684-7523			
Recorder	P.O. Drawer 28510	mailto:bweber@swri.org			
	San Antonio, TX 78228-0510				
Phil Scinto	Lubrizol	Phone: (440)347-2161	Present		
	29400 Lakeland Blvd.				
	Wickliffe, OH 44092	PRS@LUBRIZOL.COM			
Clayton Knight	Test Engineering, Inc.	Phone: (210)690-1958	Present		
	12/18 Cimarron Path				
	San Antonio, TX 78249-3423	Cknight@TEI-NET.COM			
Jason H. Bowden	OH Technologies, Inc.	Phone: (440)354-7007	Present		
	9300 Progress Parkway	Fax: (440) 354-7080			
	P.O. Box 5039	Jhbowden@ohtech.com			
	Mentor, OH 44061-5039				
Tom Franklin	Perkin Elmer	Phone: (210) 647-9446	Present		
	5404 Bandera Rd.	Fax: (210) 523-4607			
	San Antonio, TX 78238	tom.franklin@perkinelmer.com			





## **Reference Test Summary**

	TMC Validity Codes	No. of
Operationally and Statistically Acceptable	AC	Hests
Failed Acceptance Criteria	OC	19
Operationally Invalid (Laboratory Judgement)	LC	3
Aborted	XC	3
Total		61

Test Monitoring Center



















Attachment #4



LAB	entory Quantifi	ed By <sub>3</sub> , he i	vumper Of TI	⊺es <u>ts R</u> ema ∕IC	aining <sub>0</sub> At Ea	ich Laborat	ory And
	Sequence	VIB referenc	e oils are shir	ped in quant	ities of 5 gall	ons per test	
A	0	0	0	0	7	3	1
В	1	0	0	0	2	0	1
С	0	0	4	0	2	3	0
D	0	0	6	0	5	6	0
F	0	0	4	0	3	3	0
G	2	0	1	0	3	4	0
L	4	0	0	0	5	3	1
TMC	C 492	198	0	*	**	***	****



Test Monitoring Center



### LAB VISITS

During this report period the TMC visited five laboratories.

Test Monitoring Center



## Other TMC Items BC Blend

- Shipment to labs May 2002.
- Evaluation Testing Complete.
- Presentation on results forth coming.

Test Monitoring Center





- D6837-02 Should be issued Dec Jan Time Frame
- Need to issue info letter to bring up to date.
- Also, advised by Editorial, through Lyle Bowman that remedial statements need to be removed from method. Statements such as "flush eyes with water' and 'prevent entry into water sources' in A6. Would like panel approval in advance to do this when standard is issued.

Test Monitoring Center

# **BC5** Approval Results











	SEQUENCE VIB BC5 VERIFICATION DATA										
	STAGE 1 B	SFC DAT	A		STAGE 2 B	SFC DATA	4		STAGE 3 B	SFC DAT	A
Lab	BC2	BC5	BC2 - BC5	Lab	BC2	BC5	BC2 - BC5	Lab	BC2	BC5	BC2 - BC5
G	0.3239	0.3239	0	G	0.71870	0.71890	-0.00020	G	0.77410	0.77710	-0.00300
G	0.3242	0.3239	0.0003	G	0.72050	0.71780	0.00270	G	0.77000	0.76520	0.00480
G	0.3233	0.324	-0.0007	G	0.71890	0.71900	-0.00010	G	0.76620	0.76790	-0.00170
G	0.3238	0.3236	0.0002	G	0.71920	0.71980	-0.00060	G	0.76850	0.76920	-0.00070
G	0.3233	0.324	-0.0007	G	0.72130	0.71730	0.00400	G	0.76830	0.76890	-0.00060
G	0.3236	0.3233	0.0003	G	0.71810	0.71790	0.00020	G	0.76950	0.76680	0.00270
В	0.32253	0.32437	-0.00184	В	0.71213	0.71792	-0.00579	В	0.77132	0.77728	-0.00596
В	0.32208	0.3219	0.00018	В	0.71080	0.71303	-0.00223	В	0.77005	0.76857	0.00148
В	0.3215	0.32187	-0.00037	В	0.70878	0.71048	-0.00170	В	0.76442	0.76847	-0.00405
В	0.3213	0.32143	-0.00013	В	0.70523	0.70840	-0.00317	В	0.76423	0.76337	0.00086
А	0.32747	0.32875	-0.00128	A	0.71467	0.70723	0.00744	А	0.75282	0.75705	-0.00423
А	0.3299	0.33005	-0.00015	A	0.71000	0.71330	-0.00330	А	0.76105	0.76177	-0.00072
А	0.32837	0.32878	-0.00041	A	0.71057	0.70868	0.00189	А	0.75898	0.76067	-0.00169
А	0.32472	0.3284	-0.00368	A	0.70400	0.70573	-0.00173	А	0.75403	0.75612	-0.00209
А	0.3257	0.32577	-0.00007	А	0.70345	0.70645	-0.00300	А	0.74935	0.75268	-0.00333
А	0.32512	0.32477	0.00035	А	0.70758	0.70457	0.00301	А	0.75218	0.75103	0.00115
	Average		-0.00050		Average		-0.00016		Average		-0.00107
	Std. Dev		0.00104		Std. Dev		0.00332		Std. Dev		0.00280

Attachment #5

				SEQUENCE VIB BC5 VERIFICATION DATA					
	STAGE 4 E	SFC DAT	Ą				STAGE 5 E	BSFC DAT	4
Lab	BC2	BC5	BC2 - BC5		Lab		BC2	BC5	BC2 - BC5
G	0.3389	0.3398	-0.0009		G		0.34770	0.34920	-0.00150
G	0.3394	0.3389	0.0005		G		0.34820	0.34820	0.00000
G	0.3386	0.3386	0		G		0.34760	0.34810	-0.00050
G	0.3383	0.3387	-0.0004		G		0.34700	0.34780	-0.00080
G	0.3384	0.3385	-0.0001		G		0.34710	0.34760	-0.00050
G	0.3387	0.3385	0.0002		G		0.34770	0.34790	-0.00020
В	0.33985	0.34108	-0.00123		В		0.35133	0.35285	-0.00152
В	0.33945	0.33938	0.00007		В		0.35060	0.35093	-0.00033
В	0.33823	0.33902	-0.00079		В		0.34990	0.35077	-0.00087
В	0.33838	0.33822	0.00016		В		0.34942	0.35007	-0.00065
A	0.34398	0.34428	-0.0003		A		0.35335	0.35448	-0.00113
A	0.34585	0.34527	0.00058		A		0.35537	0.35492	0.00045
A	0.34343	0.34473	-0.0013		A		0.35360	0.35462	-0.00102
A	0.33987	0.34072	-0.00085		A		0.34983	0.35060	-0.00077
A	0.33992	0.3404	-0.00048		A		0.34930	0.35102	-0.00172
A	0.33917	0.34015	-0.00098		A		0.34887	0.34975	-0.00088
	Average		-0.00036				Average		-0.00075
	Std. Dev		0.00060				Std. Dev		0.00058

# VIB Paired Comparison BC2 and BC5

Variable	Mean	S	T value	Pr >  t
Stage 1	-0.000269	0.000614	-1.75	0.1002
Stage 2	-0.000398	0.003302	-0.48	0.6371
Stage 3	-0.000686	0.002933	-0.94	0.3642
Stage 4	-0.000364	0.000597	-2.44	0.0278
Stage 5	-0.000746	0.00575	-5.19	0.0001

# TMC Analysis

- TMC estimated the average difference between BC-2 and BC-5 (BC-2 minus BC-5) as -0.0005.
- This analysis did show significant stand effects, but further investigation indicated that the stand effects are primarily present in stage 3.

# TMC Analysis (cont.)

- BC-2 versus BC-3 (BC-2 minus BC-3) -0.0003
- BC-2 versus BC-4 (BC-2 minus BC-4) +0.0003
- BC-2 versus BC-5 (BC-2 minus BC-5) -0.0005
- Blend slightly less fuel efficient than BC-2, but not out of line with what we have seen with previous blends.

## RSI Sequence VIB Semi-Annual Report Six-Month Period Ending September 30, 2002

	STATUS OF REPO	RTED TESTS
STATUS	N	PERCENT
Operationally Non-Valid, Terminated	2	3.6%
Terminated at Sponsor Request	17	30.9%
Operationally Non-Valid, Completed	2	3.6%
Operationally Valid	34	61.8%
Total Reported Tests	55	100.0%
CAUSES FOR LOST TESTS		Ν
Oil Consumption	2	2
Support Equipment Problems	1	l
Oil Contamination	1	l
Sponsor Request	1	7

SEQUENCE VIB PRECISION					
COMPONENTS OF REPLICATED DATA BASE		ľ	N		
Number of Tests	No Replicate Data for this				
	Report Period				





## VIA/VIB Meeting November 12,2002 CPD Report



•40 Engines Built on August 5,2002
•Engine sequence numbers from 369 - 409
•Terry Tait from Ford 4.6/5.4/6.8L Cam Drive
Systems Components B, V Engine Engineering
•Flash casting on Crankshafts
•Simtest Oil Pressure average 47.3 PSI
•TMC reviewed and approved data



11/20/02 - G Stubbs

11/20/02 - G Stubbs

## VIB Cam Chain Tensioner Arms

Engine 57, 325 total hours R07166088



Squirt hole was clear



R

## VIB Cam Chain Tensioner Arms

Both were "replacement arms"

Engine 47, 2866 total hours R0072320



Engine 48, 3924 total hours R0707322





 0.023 Ring gaps and deep top ring groove pistons could be the cause.

- Engine RO7166079, 31.6 L/min at 1st hr, 21.6 L/min after 35hrs breakin.
- Typical blowby, at SwRI is 10 L/min.

Attachment # 9 – Piston Photograph



## **ASTM Sequence VIA / VIB Surveillance Panel Scope and Objectives**

## Scope:

The Sequence VIB Surveillance Panel is responsible for the surveillance and continued improvement of the Sequence VIB test documented in ASTM Standard DXXXX (currently Draft #5 Procedure) as each is updated by the Information Letter System. Data on test precision and laboratory versus field correlation will be solicited and evaluated at least every six months. Improvements in test operation test monitoring and test validation will be accomplished through continual communication with the Test Sponsor, ASTM Test Monitoring Center, Central Parts Distributor, ASTM B.O!, and the Passenger Car Engine Oil Classification Panel. Actions to improve the process will be recommended when deemed appropriate based on input from the aforementioned. The panel will review development and correlation of updated test procedures with previous test procedures. This process will provide the best possible test procedure for evaluating automotive lubricant performance with respect to the lubricant's ability to provide fuel economy benefits.

Objectives	Target Date
Define new hardware for future VIB testing (After current supply is exhausted)	05/03
Identify/ Incorporate 10W 30 into VIB LTMS	11/02
Complete and approve Batch 5 BC & BCFHD	05/02
If available introduce GF-3 oil into VIB LTMS 05/02	11/02