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## Summary

Latest batch of parts:
Cyl.Liner/TopRing/Rodbearing/MainBearing/PistonCrown[ W/ Y/ Z/ Q/ F randomized subgroups excluding subgroup A]

- Option 1: do nothing. There are only three data points and, in general, the current ICF is doing a reasonable job => recommended option
- Option 2: apply updated ICFs* for liner wear
- Option 3: apply updated ICFs* for Oil consumption
- Option 4: apply updated ICFs* for liner wear and Oil consumption
*additive or multiplicative
- as more data is gathered, another update can be done


## Summary table

|  |  | Before and After ICF applied by parameter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Predicted/ Target |  |  |  |  | additive ICF |  | multiplicative ICF |  |  |  |
| ALW | In ALW | Lab | original <br> ALW | In ALW | afterCF | Original scale after CF |  | afterCF | Original scale after CF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Current ICF } \\ & 09 / 2021 \end{aligned}$ <br> multiplicative |
| Predicted | 3.5086 | G | 39.5 | 3.6763 | 2.9523 | 19.1 | 0.794 | 2.9190 | 18.5 |  |
| TARGET | 2.7850 | D | 33.1 | 3.4995 | 2.7755 | 16.0 |  | 2.7786 | 16.1 | 0.7610 |
| additive ICF | -0.7240 | A | 26.7 | 3.2847 | 2.5607 | 12.9 |  | 2.6081 | 13.6 |  |
| OILCON | $\begin{gathered} \text { ln } \\ \text { OILCON } \end{gathered}$ | Lab | original <br> OILCON | $\begin{gathered} \text { ln } \\ \text { OILCON } \end{gathered}$ | afterCF | Original scale after CF |  | afterCF | Original scale after CF |  |
| Predicted | 4.4061 | G | 94 | 4.5433 | 4.2303 | 68.7 | 0.929 | 4.2207 | 68.1 | 0.907 |
| TARGET | 4.0930 | D | 72.2 | 4.2794 | 3.9664 | 52.8 |  | 3.9756 | 53.3 |  |
| additive ICF | -0.3130 | A | 86.1 | 4.4555 | 4.1425 | 63.0 |  | 4.1392 | 62.8 |  |
| ATRWL | Kеер current ICF as is |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.846 |
| PB | Kеер current ICF as is |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PB2 | Keep current ICF as is |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Liner Wear

ALW_ \& CLW vs. Cyl liner/ Top Ring

The updated ICFs are ICF = 0.724 (additive) ICF $=0.794$ (multiplicative)

ALW_ \& 3 more vs. Cyl liner/ Top Ring

- A

After current ICF = 0.761

After applying updated ICF Multiplicative ICF $=0.794$ to W/Y parts

After applying updated ICF Additive ICF $=0.724$
to W/Y parts


| LN ALW | $\mathrm{n}=135$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Expanded Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nominal factors expanded to all levels |  |  |  |  |  |  | TARGET | multipl ICF |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.5086295 | 2.7850112 | 0.794 |
| Term | Estimate | Std Er | Rati | Prob>\|t| |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 3.102492 | 0 | 71 | <. 0001 | 1 |  | additive ICF |  |
| IND 2[ PC10E/ 821] | -0.05985 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 0.6614 | 1 |  | 0.724 |  |
| IND 2[821-1] | 0.062011 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.4261 | 0 |  |  |  |
| IND 2[821-2] | 0.097775 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.1919 | 0 |  |  |  |
| IND 2[ 821-3] | -0.02174 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 0.8232 | 0 |  |  |  |
| IND 2[821-4] | -0.0782 | 0.1 | -0.8 | 0.425 | 0 |  |  |  |
| LTMSLAB[ A$]$ | 0.0757 | 0 | 1.8 | 0.073 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| LTMSLAB[ B] | 0.072792 | 0 | 1.5 | 0.1468 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| LTMSLAB[ D] | -0.00539 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.9222 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| LTMSLAB[ F] | -0.00255 | 0.1 | -0 | 0.9777 | 0 |  |  |  |
| LTMSLAB[ G] | 0.106776 | 0 | 2.2 | 0.0268 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| LTMSLAB[ I] | -0.24733 | 0.1 | -2.2 | 0.032 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Cyl liner/ Top Ring[ $/$ / ] | -0.45397 | 0.2 | -2.9 | 0.004 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Cyl liner/ Top Ring [ P/ P] | -0.16255 | 0.1 | -1.2 | 0.2313 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Cyl liner/ Top Ring[ R/R] | 0.068866 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.5369 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Cyl liner/ Top Ring[ S/R] | -0.55627 | 0.2 | -2.6 | 0.0122 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Cyl liner/ Top Ring[ S/ T] | -0.34196 | 0.1 | -4 | 0.0001 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Cyl liner/ Top Ring[ U/ U] | 0.211912 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.1087 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Cyl liner/ Top Ring[ V/ U] | -0.21052 | 0.1 | -2.5 | 0.016 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Cyl liner/ Top Ring[ V/ X] | 0.537432 | 0.1 | 5.3 | <. 0001 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Cyl liner/ Top Ring [ W/X] | 0.503543 | 0.1 | 4.4 | <. 0001 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Cyl liner/ Top Ring[ W/ Y] | 0.403515 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 0.0066 | 1 |  |  |  |

## Top Ring Weight Loss

ATRWL_ \& TRWL vs. Cyl.Liner/TopRing/Rodbearing/MainBearing/PistonCrown*


After Current ICF=0.846
At this time, there is no need for updating the ICF



One test is lower than the other two results

## Oil Consumption

The updated ICFs are ICF = 0.313 (additive)
ICF $=0.929$ (multiplicative) Before ICF

After Current ICF $=0.907$

After applying updated ICF additive ICF $=0.313$ to $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{F}$ parts

After applying updated ICF multiplicative ICF $=0.929$ to W/Y/F parts


Lab

- A
- $B$
- F
- 

OILCON
ゆ OILCON
† OCFNL官 0.313 additive ICF OILCON ㅁ 0.929 multip ICF OILCON
.

## OILCON

| Expanded Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal factors expanded to all levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | TARGET | multipl ICF |
| Term | Estimate | Std EI | Rati | Prob>\|t| |  | 4.4061122 | 4.093 | 0.929 |
| Intercept | 4.366335 | 0 | 209 | <. 0001 | 1 |  |  |  |
| IND 2[ PC10E/ 821] | -0.01522 | 0 | -0.3 | 0.7585 | 1 |  | additive ICF |  |
| IND 2[ 821-1] | 0.015656 | 0 | 0.6 | 0.5841 | 0 |  | 0.313 |  |
| IND 2[821-2] | 0.021244 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.4408 | 0 |  |  |  |
| IND 2[ 821-3] | -0.02111 | 0 | -0.6 | 0.5638 | 0 |  |  |  |
| IND 2[ 821-4] | -0.00058 | 0 | -0 | 0.9886 | 0 |  |  |  |
| LTMSLAB[ A$]$ | 0.022083 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.1529 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| LTMSLAB[ B] | -0.02967 | 0 | -1.6 | 0.1135 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| LTMSLAB[ D] | -0.00735 | 0 | -0.4 | 0.7173 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| LTMSLAB[ F] | -0.03232 | 0 | -1 | 0.326 | 0 |  |  |  |
| LTMSLAB[ G] | -0.03982 | 0 | -2.1 | 0.0353 | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| LTMSLAB[ I] | 0.087089 | 0 | 2.1 | 0.0362 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[ N/ N/] | -0.23907 | 0.1 | -3.7 | 0.0004 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[P/P/] | -0.27417 | 0.1 | -4.7 | <. 0001 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[R/R/] | -0.2111 | 0 | -4.4 | <. 0001 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[S/R/] | -0.42442 | 0.1 | -4.9 | <. 0001 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[ S/ T/] | -0.11681 | 0 | -3 | 0.0036 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[S/T/ T] | -0.11615 | 0.1 | -2 | 0.0434 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[ U/ U/] | 0.160829 | 0 | 3.2 | 0.0016 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[ U/ U/ U] | 0.245309 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.0036 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[ V/ U/] | 0.160718 | 0 | 5 | <. 0001 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[ V/ U/ A] | 0.053386 | 0 | 1.2 | 0.2514 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[ V/ U/ B] | -0.03833 | 0 | -1.1 | 0.2872 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[ V/ U/ C] | -0.01006 | 0 | -0.3 | 0.7973 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[ V/ U/ U] | 0.128239 | 0 | 3.6 | 0.0004 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[ V/X/D] | 0.072899 | 0 | 2.2 | 0.029 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[V/X/E] | 0.158444 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 0.0025 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[ W/X/F] | 0.177807 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.0039 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown[ W/ X/ F494 | 0.20379 | 0 | 5 | <. 0001 | 0 |  |  |  |
| *Cyl liner/ Top Ring/piston crown [ W/ Y/ F] | 0.068687 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.1828 | 1 |  |  |  |

TRNDPB \& PB vs. Cyl.Liner/TopRing/Rodbearing/MainBearing/PistonCrown*

## Pb Oil Consumption Correction

At this time, there is no need to propose new correction


TRNDPB \& PB vs. OILCON


Cyl.Liner/TopRing/Rodbearing/MainBearing/PistonCrown
N/N/L1/J/ •V/U/X/O/B
N/N/L/J/ •V/U/X/O/U
N/N/M/J/ •V/U/Y/P/C
N/N/M/K/
N/N/M/K/
N/N/N/K/
P/P/N/L/
T P/P/P/L/

- $P / P / P / M /$
- $P / P / R / M /$
- $R / R / R / M /$
- $R / R / R / M /$
- R/R/T/M1
- R/R/U/M1/
- S/R/U/M2/
- $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{N} /$
- $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{T}$
- U/U/X/O/
- U/U/X/O/U
- V/U/X/O/
- V/U/X/O/A

TRNDPB2 \& PB2 vs. Cyl.Liner/TopRing/Rodbearing/MainBearing/PistonCrown*

## Pb2 Oil Consumption Correction

At this time, there is no need to propose new correction

Before ICF


A
B

TRNDPB2 \& PB2 vs. OILCON
Cyl.Liner/TopRing/Rodbearing/MainBearing/PistonCrown


T N/N/L1/J/ •V/U/X/O/B
N/N/L/J/ •V/U/X/O/U
N/N/M/J/ $\quad$ V/U/Y/P/C
T N/N/M/K/
N/N/N/K/ $\quad$ V/X/Y/P/E
$P / P / N / L$-W/X/Y/P/F
T P/P/P/L/ - W/X/Y/P/F4945E
$\therefore P / P / P / M / \quad$ ? $W / Y / Z / Q / F 4945$
-P/P/R/M/ ? W/Y/Z/Q/F

- $R / R / R / M /$ ? $W / Y / Z / Q / F(S)$
- $R / R / R / M$
- R/R/S/M/
- R/R/T/M1/
- R/R/U/M1/
- S/R/U/M2/
- $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{N} /$
- $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{T}$
- U/U/X/O/
- $\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{U}$
- $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{O}$
- V/U/X/O/A

Appendix 3: Equations for PB and PB2

## PB

Determine the final $\Delta$ Lead at EOT result by applying the correction factor calculated according to the following equations:

If $\mathrm{OC}_{100-300}>65.0$
$\Delta$ Lead $_{\text {Final }}=\exp \left[\ln (\Delta\right.$ Lead $)+\left(65.0-\right.$ OC $\left.\left._{100-300}\right) \times 0.03234\right]$
If $\mathrm{OC}_{100-300} \leq 65.0$
$\Delta$ Lead $_{\text {Final }}=\Delta$ Lead
Where:
$\Delta$ Lead $=$ final $\Delta$ Lead at EOT
$\mathrm{OC}_{100-300}=$ average oil consumption

## PB2

Determine the final $\Delta$ Lead ( 250 to 300 ) h by applying the correction factor calculated according to the following equations:

If $\mathrm{OC}_{100-300}>65.0$
$\Delta$ Lead (250-300) $)_{\text {Final }}=\exp \left[\ln (\Delta \operatorname{Lead}(250-300))+\left(65.0-\right.\right.$ OC $\left._{100-300}\right) \times 0.04089$
If $\mathrm{OC}_{100-300} \leq 65.0$
$\Delta$ Lead $(250-300)_{\text {Final }}=\Delta$ Lead $(250-300)$
Where:
$\Delta$ Lead (250-300) $=$ final $\Delta$ Lead ( 250 to 300 ) h
$\Delta$ Lead (250-300) $=$ value calculated per XXXX
$\mathrm{OC}_{100-300}=$ average oil consumption

## Data Source

- Dataset - LTMS 08/09/2023
- Tests on Reference oil PC-10E/821 and re-blends
- Exclusions:
- Exclude tests with Chart = N (except W/Y/Z/ Q/F)
- Testkeys:
- 98459, 98867 (goofy tests)
- 109182 (thrown out in previous analyses)
- 110864 (VUXPB)
- Total number of tests: 135


## General comments

- Latest batch of parts:
- Cyl.Liner/TopRing/Rodbearing/MainBearing/PistonCrown[ W/ Y/ Z/ Q/ F randomized subgroups excluding subgroup A ]
- Original precision matrix
- LTMS adopted use natural logarithm transformations for $\mathrm{Pb}, \mathrm{Pb} 2$, and OC.
- The most recent review adopted LN transformation for CLW and TRWL

