EXIT CRITERIA BALLOT

ASTM-HDEOCP

BALLOT FOR VOTING MEMBERS ONLY

Reference: Jim Mc Geehan, Chairman

Issue Date: March 2th , 2005 Receipt Deadline: March 24th , 2005

Name: William Kleiser

Organization: Chevron Oronite LLC

Pat Connelly via email (preferred): patconnelly@chevrontexaco.com

Date: March 21, 2005

or via Fax: 510-242-3758

Phone No.: 510 242 3027

Motion	Affirmative	Negative
The following motion was made at the HDEOCP and passed unanimously.		
MOTION		
Acceptance of the proposed Mack T-10 limits to qualify an oil as passing the Mack T- 9 test. (Reference limits below)		\boxtimes
Microsoft PowerPoint Presentation		

Comments:

Chevron Oronite supports the approach of using a variety lubricants run in both the Mack T9 and T10 tests to establish equivalent pass limits. However, we feel that the limits proposed for API CH-4 based on the T10 require some revision for the following two reasons:

- According to the data presented there appears to be a linear relationship between T9 and T10 lead described by the following equation: T9Pb=0.5089(T10Pb)-5.486. Using this equation we can calculate that based on the CH-4 Pb increase limit of 25ppm, the equivalent T10 limit is 60ppm. The current proposed one run limit of 40ppm corresponds to a T9 limit of 15ppm, which is significantly different from the CH-4 pass limit and would represent a change in the performance standard.
- 2) Based on the average difference in Top Ring Weight Loss (TRWL), there is a 30mg off set in severity. The T-9 CH-4 one run limit is 120mg, this would translate to 150mg in the T10, based on this data.

Based on the above issues, Chevron Oronite would be willing to accept limits similar to those listed below which we feel represent a direct conversion from the T9 to T10 based on the data presented.

T10 Top Ring Weight Loss, mg:150T10 Liner Wear Step, um:30T10 EOT Lead Increase, ppm:60