PC-10 Matrix Funding & Design TF

ASTM HDEOCP Meeting November 11, 2004 Chicago, IL

Funding Group

- Preliminary plan to fund the PC-10 matrix established at the October 20 meeting
 - ACC & API each contribute \$1MM in cash
 - EMA to provide \$350M in cash and >\$650M in-kind
- Trade association funding (\$2.35MM) plus stand calibration testing likely to allow acceptable designs

Projected overall cost \$4.2MM to \$4.5MM

❖ Stand calibration tests \$1.89MM to \$2.14MM

Industry funded tests \$2.33MM

- Final approval for funding pending
 - ACC to confirm support for the proposal
 - API LC endorsed the plan pending AAC decision

Matrix Design Task Force

Preliminary designs that meet criteria below identified

		Cat C13	Cummis ISB	Mack T-12
Matrix Outputs	Precision	Yes	Yes	Yes
	BOI	Yes	No	No
Number ot Tests		26	14 to 16	14 to 16
Calibration		12	6 to 8	6 to 8
Funded		14	8	8
Number of Stand	ds	7	4	4
Number of Labs		5	2 to 4	2 to 4
Runs / Stand	First Stands	4	4	4
	Second Stands	3	3	3

- Final matrix selection to be based on additional criteria
 - Readiness / willingness of individual labs and stands
 - Agreed distribution across labs and test costs to industry
- PC-10 MDTF will remain in place to see if additional input is needed

Next Steps

- Industry agreement on the plan to limit BOI to the Cat C13;
 precision only for the Cummins ISB & Mack T-12
- Finalize selection of oils for the matrix
 - ❖ EMA to choose 2 PC-10 technologies for Cat C-13; select base oils
 - Identify & accept matrix oils for the Cummins ISB & Mack T-12
 - Matrix oil blending
- Trade association confirmation of the plan to fund matrix testing; complete MOA before testing starts
- Selection of specific matrix designs

ATTACHMENT 6, 5 OF 6

PC-10 Engine Test Matrix

Preliminary Matrix Designs

Engine Test	Cat C-13	Cummins ISB / Mack T-12		
		Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
Matrix Type	Precision / BOI		Precision Only	
No. of Stands	7	4	4	4
No. of Labs	5	2	3	4
No. of Oils	6	2	2	2
Total No. of Tests	26	14	15	16
No. of Tests/Oil	6,4,3	7	7,8	8
Detectable Difference in s of variable and using t	3.02	1.95	1.86	1.78
Detectable Difference in s of variable and MC	4.22	1.95	1.86	1.78
Comparing reference oils only	2.81			
No. of Tests/Stand	4,3,4,3,4,4,4	4,3,4,3	4,3,4,4	4,4,4,4
Detectable Difference in s of variable and using t	2.67	2.78	2.75	2.52
Detectable Difference in s of variable taking the	3.85	3.63	3.55	3.23
multiple comparison into account for several	3.57	3.36	3.29	
sample size combinations	4.12	3.88		
No. of Tests/ Lab	7,7,4,4,4	7,7	7,4,4	4,4,4,4
Detectable Difference in s of variable and using t	2.19	1.95	2.26	2.52
Detectable Difference in s of variable taking the	2.93	1.95	2.66	3.23
multiple comparison into account for several	2.50		3.00	
sample size combinations	3.30			
Degrees of Freedom				
Oil	5	1	1	1
Stand (Lab)	2	2	1	0
Lab	4	1	2	3
Mean	1	1	1	1
Error	14	9	10	11
Total	26	14	15	16
95% CI for Sigma, Width^	0.84	1.14	1.06	0.99

Matrix Cost Calculator

- Enter assumptions in cells with blue text
- Sheet will display the number and cost for individual PC-10 matrices and the total test cost

Inputs	Cat C13	Cummis ISB	Mack T-12	
Number ot Tests	26	14	14	
\$1,000 per Test	95	50	75	
No. of Labs / First Stands	5	2	2	
No. of Calibrations per 1st Stand	2	2	2	
No. of Second Stands	2	2	2	
No. Calibrations per 2nd Stand	1	1	1	
Outputs	Cat C13	Cummis ISB	Mack T-12	
Labs	5	2	2	
Stands	7	4	4	
No. of Tests				
Total	26	14	14	
Calibration	12	6	6	
Funded	14	8	8	Total
Cost (\$1,000)				Cost
Total	2,470	700	1,050	4,220
Calibration (Labs)	1,140	300	450	1,890
Funded (Trade Assoc)	1,330	400	600	2,330

	Cash	In-Kind	Contrib.
EMA	<u>350</u>	<u>657</u>	1007
ACC	1000		1000
API	1000		1000
Total Trade Association	2350	657	3007