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## Catalyst compatible lubricants

- 2007 HD standards and Tier 2 LD standards will require aftertreatment
- Growing concern over lube oil sulfur and ash
- Potential to interfere with catalyst performance
- $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ adsorber poisoning
- Diesel particle filter plugging
- APBF-DEC has established a multi-year project to quantify lubricant effects on emissions and catalyst performance
- Objective: Determine which, if any, lubricant derived emission components are detrimental to ECS performance or durability.


## Workgroup Participants

- BP
- Caterpillar
- ChevronTexaco
- Chevron Oronite
- Ciba Specialty Chemicals
- Cummins, Inc.
- Equilon
- Ethyl Corporation
- ExxonMobil
- Infineum
- International
- John Deere
- Lubrizol
- Mack
- Marathon-Ashland Petroleum
- Motiva
- Pennzoil-Quaker State
- RohMax
- Shell Global Solutions
- Toyota
- Valvoline


## Test Laboratory

- Subcontractor: Automotive Testing Laboratories (East Liberty, OH)
- Principal Investigators:
- Chris Tennant, Lisa Lanning
- Team members:
- Michael Traver
- Tom McDaniel
- Brian Mace



## Test Engine

- 1999 International T444E
- 7.3L OHV V-8
- Direct injection, turbocharged w/ wastegate
- 215 hp at 2400 rpm
- 540 ft -lbs torque at 1500 rpm
- Exhaust gas recirculation (retrofit)
- Closed crankcase ventilation with filter
- Lube system capacity: 18 quarts


## Emissions Measurements

- PM (three sample trains)
$\{$ - total weight
- SOF and sulfate
- metals
- PAHs
- Four mode steady-state (OICA)
- $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$
- $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$
- Hydrocarbons
- CO

Torque ft- lb


## Test Cell Layout

To blower


## Particulate Matter Sample Collection

-Train \#1: PM mass (ATL/ORNL)

- 70 mm Pallflex ‘Emfab’ (glass fiber w/bonded PTFE)
- analysis for sulfate and soluble organic fraction (ORNL)
-Train \#2: PM Metals
- 47 mm Gelman ‘Teflo’ (PTFE w/ PMP support)
- determined by x-ray fluorescence (DRI)
-Train \#3: Poly-cyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)
- 70 mm Pallflex 'Fiberfilm’ (glass fiber w/bonded TFE)
- Determined by GC-MS (SwRI)


## Sample Train 1\&2 Configuration



## PM Sample Train 3 Configuration



## $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ Analysis - Overview

- $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ measured via impingement in aqueous hydrogen peroxide (wet chemistry method)
$-\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ converted to $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$
- Modeled after EPA methods 6, 8, 16
- Post-test quantification of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ concentration using ion chromatograph yields SO2 emission rate (exhaust flow measured)


## Additive Systems Selected

| Element | a | b | c | d | e | f | g | h | i | j | k | 1 | r |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ash Level（\％） | 1.2 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 1.85 | 0.75 | 1.4407 | 1.4016 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.23 | 1.35 |
| S | 0 | 5 | 4950 | 4500 | 6590 | 2785 | 3246 | 2921 | 4226 | 2224 | 20 | 725 | 4454 |
| Ca | 3484 | 0 | 3950 | 800 | 4770 | 1820 | 3130 | 3130 | 1748 | 4128 | 870 | 415 | 3412 |
| Zn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1900 | 1560 | 860 | 1319 | 865 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 1269 |
| N | 0 | 950 | 2000 | 1200 | 970 | 1286 | 1182 | 1137 | 0 | 1560 | 2235 | 1457 | 855 |
| P | 0 | 670 | 600 | 1700 | 1420 | 760 | 1201 | 788 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 587 | 1156 |
| B | 1099 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 150 | 60 | 1235 | 143 | 0 | 0 | 985 | 176 | 0 |
| Cl | 100 | 0 | ＜100 | 200 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 18 | 0 | 60 | 80 |
| Mo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 284 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mg | 0 | 0 | ＜50 | 1700 | 0 | 0 | 277 | 277 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reference Oil |  |  |  |  | uplicat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Additives supplied by：

Ciba，Chevron Oronite，Ethyl，Infineum，Lubrizol

## Base Oils Selected

- Group I: Valero (Paulsboro)
- 4800-5600-ppm S, 75\% saturates
- Group II: Excel (Lake Charles)
- <20-ppm S, >99\% saturates
- Group III: Motiva (Houston)
- <5-ppm S, >99\% saturates
- Group IV: BP
- PAO (poly-alpha olefin, synthetic)
- 0 sulfur
- 5\% ester for additive solubility


## Material Balance



## Ca in PM Emissions



- Ca emissions directly correlated with concentration in oil
- No apparent composition effects
-46\% recovery rate


## Zn in PM Emissions



- Zn emissions directly correlated with concentration in oil
-Possible composition effects
- 43\% recovery rate


## P in PM Emissions


－P emissions directly correlated with concentration in oil
－Oil C significantly deviates
－90\％recovery rate（excl．Oil C）

## Sulfur in Emissions


-S emissions directly correlated with concentration in oil

- Oil I significantly deviates
-113\% recovery rate (excl. Oil I)
- uncertainty in fuel S level


## Base Oil and Additive Effects on $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ Emissions



## Summary

－Preliminary results show the effects of oil composition on selected emissions，including metals and sulfur
－Results indicate that emissions from certain formulations deviate from those using more traditional chemistry
－Data from all additive／basestock combinations are currently being analyzed and will be reported in late summer．
－Phase II will focus on development of a rapid catalyst aging protocol to determine lubricant effects on durability
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