

Mack T10 Limits An alternate proposal

ORONITE CONFIDENTIAL



- Proposed pass limits are significantly more severe than the average performance of the featured oil
- Of 27 matrix runs 5 runs would 'pass' out of 27
 - Only 2/10 runs on the 'featured' oil pass the requirements
 - 5/20 for 'PC-9' level matrix oils
- Following parameters result in the most difficulty
 - Liner wear
 - Lead increase (either EOT or last 50 hour)
- Precision of parameters results in frequent 'random' failures of a very expensive engine test



 What would be required if Oil A were to Pass 50%, 75% and 95%?

Criterion	Mack Limit	Oil A LS Mean	75% Pass	95% Pass
Liner Wear	30	34	37	41
Top Ring Weight Loss	140	133	150	174
EOT Lead Delta	30	24	30	39
250-300 Hour Lead Delta	10	10	14	20
Average Oil Consumption	60	53	59	67
EOT IR Oxidation	750	407	530	705

 Is there a better alternative that insures high performance oils but reduces repeat testing due to test variability?





- Utilizing statistically merit system should be considered as an alternative
 - Combined with 'fail safe' limits
 - Biased to the OEM desired limits
 - Accounts for test precision
- Goals for an appropriate merit based system
 - Based on test statistics
 - Clearly discriminate between PC9 and non-PC9 chemistry
 - Combine a merit rating with 'hard' limits which represent the point beyond which an oil is statistically beyond the desired performance envelop



T10 Merit Proposal

How to interpret the following charts

Assumptions for weighting of parameters:

- » EOT Pb: 25%
- » 250-300hr Pb: 20%
- » Liner wear: 25%
- » Ring wt. Loss, Oxidation, Oil consumption all 10%
- Allows ±2SD from center
- Exceeding the deviation limit defines a fail
- Exmple uses the Mack proposed limits as anchor point





Example: Based on Mack proposed limits











ASTM Committee Use Only Use for any other purpose prohibited

Chevron Oronite Technology - Richmond, CA

Example: Based on Mack proposed limits









ASTM Committee Use Only Use for any other purpose prohibited

Chevron Oronite Technology - Richmond, CA

ATTACHMENT 14, 7 OF

ω

≣



 Clearly discriminates oil performance while accounting for test variability effects

- Example 1:
 - » PC9 technology combined (X and Y) 'passes' 70% of the time
 - » CH-4 technology 'passes' 28% of the time

– Example 2

- » PC9 technology combined 'passes' 57% of the time
- » CH-4 technology 'passes' 0%
- We believe that adoption of this system would reduce concerns about the impacts of precision of the individual parameters

