# Cummins M11 EGR <br> Crosshead Wear Normalization 

## Presented at the April 23, 2001 ASTM Task Force Meeting in San Antonio

## History of M11 Wear Normalization

- Crosshead wear normalization has been applied to the M11 HST since 1996
- Based on exponential equation
- Using "EOT" soot level as the basis for normalization
- Early M11 EGR data showed similar variability of crosshead wear
- Use of "EOT soot" as a basis for normalization does not seem to be the proper approach
- The M11 EGR requires a different normalization
- Consider integration of soot level
- Normalize to the "bottom of the soot window"


## Soot versus Test Time



## Normalization Equation for Matrix Oil E

- Normalized wear $=10^{\wedge}($ LOG(XHW)-0.26*(Soot-5))

Where : XHW is the raw crosshead weight loss
Soot is the integrated soot / 300

## The Impact of Normalization

- Before normalization the data set has :
- Average Wear 27.2 mg
- Standard Deviation 11.7
- Coefficient Of Variation 0.43
- After normalization the data set has :
- Average Wear 22.4 mg
- Standard Deviation 8.1
- Coefficient Of Variation 0.36
- In conclusion, the normalization has some positive impact on the precision of the test
- Normalizing the wear might help in identifying outliers


## Raw and Normalized Data

| CMIR | Raw Crosshead Wear | Soot | Normalized |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38932 | 51.02 | 5.72 | 33.2 |
| 27 | 20.42 | 5.58 | 14.4 |
| 28 | 19.45 | 4.90 | 20.7 |
| 31 | 23.39 | 5.04 | 22.8 |
| 36 | 42.28 | 5.55 | 30.4 |
| 33 | 28.77 | 4.75 | 33.5 |
| 29 | 22.61 | 5.76 | 14.4 |
| 34 | 17.91 | 4.98 | 18.1 |
| 30 | 18.70 | 5.50 | 13.8 |

