ISB severity analysis

Elisa Santos 7/15/2020



Outline



- Cam Wear Data
 - 95 tests all chartable data plus three valid/ Non chartable tests
 - 92 tests all chartable data
- Issues involved in updating the current CF
- Five Plots
 - Overall data: Standardized Cam wear over time highlighting latest hardware
 - Focus on stands behavior that have tested L/E/E hardware
 - Focus on comparing oil re-blends for fixed hardware type
- Models used
 - Lab/Stand, Oil/Hardware
 - Lab/Stand, Hardware assuming that re-blends are the same
- Correction Factor Proposal varying model and data set
- Update or not standard deviation: Current 8.7 vs. updated (?)
- Questions

Performance you can rely on.

Data: Cam wear

1.

2.

3.



Data: reference oil 831 and re-blends; 95 tests	۹ 🗸 💽		
including three valid/ Non chartable tests		Oil/ Hardware	N Rows
inolaanig tinee valia/ Non onartable tooto	0 😽 1	1004-3/A/A/A	0
	S 🗟 2	PC10E/A/A/A	0
Target: 14 tests (6 tests on 831/A/A/A and 8 tests	S 😽 3	830-2/A/A/A	0
	⊘ € 4	PC10C/B/A/A	0
on 831/B/A/A)	© 😽 5	PC10F/B/A/A	0
	→ 6	831/A/A/A	7
	7	831/B/A/A	10
Most recent set of parts: L/E/E (8 tests)	8	831/C/A/A	1
	9	831/C/B/B	1
	10	831-1/B/A/A	2
	11	831-1/C/A/A	1
	12	831-1/C/B/B	1
	13	831-1/D/B/B	1
	14	831-1/E/B/B	4
	15	831-1/F/B/B	3
	16	831-1/G/B/B	1
	17	831-1/H/C/C	4
	18	831-1/J/D/C	8
	19	831-2/J/D/C	16
	20	831-2/ K/ISB-825/D	1
	21	831-2/ K/D/D	8
	22	831-3/ K/ E/D	8
	23	831-3/ K/D/D	7
	24	831-3/L/E/E	1
	25	831-4/ K/ E/D	3
	26	831-4/ L/ E/ E	7

3

Infineum confidential information. Given in confidence to xxxxxx under agreement xxxxxxx

Some of the issues we need to discuss in order to update the current CF



- 1. In general, ISB oil re-blend and parts are changing at the same time
 - a) Sean has confirmed that 831-4 used the exact same base oil and components as 831-3, maybe allowing oil to be ignored when comparing batches of parts
- 2. Some Lab/Stands have more data over time than others.
 - a) In particular D1 tested for the first time *twice* (Cam wear=72 μm) with the latest oil reblend and also the most recent set of parts. New Lab/Stand is then confounded with oil re-blend and L/E/E parts. Because D1 generated the highest cam values in L/E/E, we should keep this in mind, as we generate a potential CF.
 - b) G7 is also a new stand with relatively high cam value in L/E/E (62 μ m).
- 3. Variability should Standard deviation be updated?
- 4. If we assume that oil re-blend dash 4 did not change, there are 8 tests on L/E/E parts, only one test on the previous oil re-blend 831-3 we will talk more about it
- 5. By itself, none of these issues are unusual, but combined they create unique challenges in establishing a sensible CF

Possible Oil re-blend comparisons (dash 3 vs. dash 4) keeping parts fixed *





*model used: all chartable data (92 tests); Lab/Stand and Oil/Hardware with Target RMSE of the model equal to 9.08

Performance you can rely on.

Plots

Standardized Cam wear over time: *L/E/E tests* are highlighted



Infineu



Highlighting stands that have tested L/E/E hardware, so that we can see their behavior over time:



New stands: cam wear values



Performance you can rely on.

Infineum confidential information. Given in confidence to xxxxxx under agreement xxxxxxx © 2020 Infineum International Limited. All Rights Reserved.

Highlighting stands that have tested L/E/E hardware, so that we can see their behavior over time:



After seeing these plots, I started to question the need to update CF...





9

Infineum confidential information. Given in confidence to xxxx under agreement xxxxxxx Infine

Cam Wear before CF and after current CF: highlighting batch K cam/crosshead D or E/D tappet





I also highlighted 831-2 ... Based on the graph, it seems that the change is due to parts and not oil. I left three valid/ Non chartable (N markers) tests in the file for now. I may remove them later, before modeling. Their corresponding ACSW values are 55.2,37.2 and 48.8.

Cam Wear before CF and after current CF: highlighting batch L cam/crosshead E/E tappet





With just one test for 831-3, it is risky to assume that 831-4 is different, especially after seeing the previous plot. The overall evidence seems to be pointing to, if there are differences, they would be likely due to parts and not oil re-blend. D1 and G7 are new stands – Stand confounded with new parts and dash 4 re-blend.

Model: ACSW by Lab/Stand and Oil/Hardware 95 tests; including three valid/ Non chartable (N markers) tests Infineum

Whole Model	Lab/Sta	nd			Oil/ Hardware with TARGET	
Summary of Fit	Least 9	Squares M	eans Table	e	Least Squares Means Table	
RSquare 0.533339		Least	6. I F		Least	
RSquare Adj 0.230419	Level	Sq Mean	Std Error	Mean	Level Sq Mean Std Error Mean	
Root Mean Square Error 9.084221	AT	30.398039	2,721644	38.3/30	IAKGEI 44.080882 3.393047 42.4857	
Mean of Kesponse 45.92316 Observations (or Sum Wate) 05	A2 A3	28 658033	3.731044	38 6875	831/A/A/A 38.121/99 11.042224 35.8000 831/B/A/A 41.201632 7.771860 30.7500	
Observations (or sum wgts) 95	A3 A4	45 137534	3 200707	46 4417	831/C/A/A 41.291032 7.771800 39.7500	
Analysis of Variance	Δ 5	40.883841	9 577941	50 7000	831/C/B/B 56 766416 9 695756 56 2000	
Sum of	B1	44.942485	4.621035	42,4833	831-1/B/A/A 47.691632 7.771860 45.9000	
Source DF Squares Mean Square F Ratio	B2	42.882151	6.452153	42,8667	831-1/C/A/A 50.705291 10.606094 41.4000	
Model 37 5375.914 145.295 1.7607	B3	46.698566	4.248842	47.4000	831-1/C/B/B 43.566416 9.695756 43.0000	
Error 57 4703.815 82.523 Prob > F	B4	46.208711	5.217436	42.0200	831-1/D/B/B 62.121799 11.042224 59.8000	
C. Total 94 10079.729 0.0267*	D1	68.984843	8.090074	72.1000	831-1/E/B/B 41.081298 5.104571 40.8500	
Effect Tests	F1	43.382151	5.968970	43.8600	831-1/F/B/B 45.752350 5.914004 44.3000	
Sum of	G1	46.673055	2.878341	46.7267	831-1/G/B/B 42.630895 9.540938 43.6000	
Source Nparm DF Squares F Ratio Prob > F	G2	43.517068	7.263360	42.5000	831-1/H/C/C 40.030463 4.999582 39.2750	
Lab/Stand 16 16 1465.7780 1.1101 0.3681	G3	49.064391	3.563410	51.8000	831-1/J/D/C 47.931669 3.834754 47.2625	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET 21 21 2374.9440 1.3704 0.1730	G4	32.983841	9.577941	42.8000	831-2/J/D/C 55.520109 2.705005 53.5813	
Box-Cox Transformations	G5	48.326106	7.241039	44.8000	831-2/K/ISB-825/D 48.066416 9.695756 47.5000	
4200	G7	59.184843	10.330094	62.3000	831-2/K/D/D 43.328878 3.764495 42.4625	
4000 -	Least 9	Squares M	eans Plot		831-3/ K/ E/D 41.026810 4.111227 42.4375	
3800 - Rest) = 0.077					831-3/ K/D/D 41.166886 4.005503 38.65/1	
3400 -					031-5/L/E/E 50.343917 9.650105 29.3000 931-4/K/E/D 47.503339 5.000455 50.0667	
3200-	80-				051-4/ N/ E/D 47.303536 5.606433 50.0007	
-20 -15 -10 -05 00 05 10 15 20	70.				051-4/L/ E/ E 40.019107 4.515422 50.4145	
λ				Ж	Least Squares Means Plot	
	5 60 -	-	т			
	Ň			ттКЦ	80 -	
	S 20-	IT I .				
	\$ 40			♥	70-	
	¥ 40	¶ I ¶	- L	1 * 1		1
	30-	11 1		1		11
		1	1			
	20-					R
	10-					Π
		A1 A2 A3	A4 A5 B1	B2 B3 B4 D1		(* V
				Lab/Stand	30-	i -
					20-	
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
low Stand D1yony high what		nnor	od to	o oth	10-L Lakeeeeeeeeeeee	6
new Stand Drivery high wher	1001	npar	eu (/ E/
					F が fl 2 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	4/ K
i/ is also highlighted						-1-
						80
Indel with 02 tests aives sim	ilar	COCUL	te			
iouer with 32 tests gives sin		6201	13		Oil/ Hardware with TARGFT	
					Contract: Target vs. 1/E/E	
					SS NumDF DenDF F Ratio Prob > F	
					7.712 1 57 0.0935 0.7609	

Expanded Estimates										
Nominal factors expanded to all levels										
										6
Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob> t		Estimate				
Intercept	45.70395	1.951496	23.42	<.0001	1	45.70395				Infineum)
Lab/Stand[A1]	-9.305291	5.474136	-1.7	0.0946	0.058823529	-0.54737				
Lab/Stand[A2]	-2.663077	3.62589	-0.73	0.4657	0.058823529	-0.15665				
Lab/Stand[A3]	-7.045917	3.756201	-1.88	0.0658	0.058823529	-0.41447				
Lab/Stand[A4]	-0.566416	3.388895	-0.17	0.8679	0.058823529	-0.03332				
Lab/Stand[A5]	-4.820109	8.951621	-0.54	0.5924	0.058823529	-0.28354				
Lab/Stand[B1]	-0.761465	4.697656	-0.16	0.8718	0.058823529	-0.04479			1	
Lab/Stand[B2]	-2.821799	6.27755	-0.45	0.6548	0.058823529	-0.16599			1	
Lab/Stand[B3]	0.9946156	3.98851	0.25	0.804	0.058823529	0.058507			1	
Lab/Stand[B4]	0.5047612	4.878696	0.1	0.918	0.058823529	0.029692				
Lab/Stand[D1]	23.280893	7.53633	3.09	0.0031	0.058823529	1.369464				
Lab/Stand[F1]	-2.321799	6.27755	-0.37	0.7129	0.058823529	-0.13658	Opt	ion1 [.]	· a	ssuming that
Lab/Stand[G1]	0.9691048	2.916579	0.33	0.7409	0.058823529	0.057006	Opt		. u	bourning that
Lab/Stand[G2]	-2.186882	6.922722	-0.32	0.7532	0.058823529	-0.12864	ther	e is I	no	difference in
Lab/Stand[G3]	3.3604408	3.213583	1.05	0.3001	0.058823529	0.197673				
Lab/Stand[G4]	-12.72011	8.951621	-1.42	0.1608	0.058823529	-0.74824	oil r	e-ble	enc	
Lab/Stand[G5]	2.622156	6.786606	0.39	0.7007	0.058823529	0.154244	0111		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•
Lab/Stand[G7]	13.480893	9.654196	1.4	0.168	0.058823529	0.792994				
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[TARGET]	-1.017068	3.390409	-0.3	0.7653	0	0				
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831/A/A/A]	-7.582151	10.51901	-0.72	0.474	0	0	- Sι	ibtra	ct (0.18 to current
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831/B/A/A]	-4.412318	7.375923	-0.6	0.5521	0	0		/		
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831/C/A/A]	-4.573055	9.127202	-0.5	0.6183	0	0	L/E/	'E es	stin	nate, in
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831/C/B/B]	11.062466	9.233932	1.2	0.2359	0	0		at a s		
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831-1/B/A/A]	1.9876818	7.576614	0.26	0.794	0	0	prad	ctice,	, D	O NOTHING
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831-1/C/A/A]	5.0013411	10.3427	0.48	0.6305	0	0	•			
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-1/C/B/B]	-2.137534	9.233932	-0.23	0.8178	0	0			1	
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831-1/D/B/B]	16.417849	10.51901	1.56	0.1241	0	0				
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831-1/E/B/B]	-4.622652	4.829822	-0.96	0.3426	0	0				
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831-1/F/B/B]	0.0484	5.737561	0.01	0.9933	0	0				
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831-1/G/B/B]	-3.073055	9.127202	-0.34	0.7376	0	0				
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831-1/H/C/C]	-5.673487	4.771886	-1.19	0.2394	0	0				
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831-1/J/D/C]	2.2277193	3.822088	0.58	0.5623	0	0				
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831-2/J/D/C]	9.8161589	3.03544	3.23	0.002	0	0				
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831-2/ K/ ISB-825/D]	2.3624663	9.233932	0.26	0.799	0	0				
Oil/Hardware with TARGET[831-2/ K/D/D]	-2.375072	3.919792	-0.61	0.547	0	0				
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-3/ K/ E/D]	-4.67714	4.330066	-1.08	0.2846	0	0			1	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-3/ K/D/D]	-4.537064	4.086568	-1.11	0.2716	0	0				
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-3/ L/ E/ E]	-9.158033	9.504109	-0.96	0.3393	0.5	-4.57902			-	One test
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-4/ K/ E/D]	1.7993879	5.722246	0.31	0.7543	0	0				
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-4/ L/ E/ E]	3.1151575	4.918107	0.63	0.529	0.5	1.557579 —			•	Seven tests
						42.68251				
					Target	42.5				
Performance you can rely on.					difference	0.182512		© 2020 Infi	ineum	International Limited. All Rights Reserved.
15					Subtract 0.18	to bring L/E/E res	sults back to Ta	rget		

92 chartable tests: option 1 DO NOTHING

n=92							
Expanded Estimates							
Nominal factors expanded to all levels							
Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob> t		Estimate	
Intercept	45.528027	1.951496	23.42	<.0001	1	45.52803	
Lab/Stand[A1]	-9.000579	5.474136	-1.7	0.0946	0.058823529	-0.52945	
Lab/Stand[A2]	-2.539718	3.62589	-0.73	0.4657	0.058823529	-0.1494	
Lab/Stand[A3]	-6.688891	3.756201	-1.88	0.0658	0.058823529	-0.39346	
Lab/Stand[A4]	-0.215561	3.388895	-0.17	0.8679	0.058823529	-0.01268	
Lab/Stand[A5]	-4.502561	8.951621	-0.54	0.5924	0.058823529	-0.26486	
Lab/Stand[B1]	-0.739369	4.697656	-0.16	0.8718	0.058823529	-0.04349	
Lab/Stand[B2]	-2.776163	6.27755	-0.45	0.6548	0.058823529	-0.1633	
Lab/Stand[B3]	2.9385656	3.98851	0.25	0.804	0.058823529	0.172857	
Lab/Stand[B4]	1.6345606	4.878696	0.1	0.918	0.058823529	0.096151	
Lab/Stand[D1]	23.435422	7.53633	3.09	0.0031	0.058823529	1.378554	
Lab/Stand[F1]	-2.276163	6.27755	-0.37	0.7129	0.058823529	-0.13389	
Lab/Stand[G1]	0.2826529	2.916579	0.33	0.7409	0.058823529	0.016627	
Lab/Stand[G2]	-2.135362	6.922722	-0.32	0.7532	0.058823529	-0.12561	
Lab/Stand[G3]	3.8372979	3.213583	1.05	0.3001	0.058823529	0.225723	
Lab/Stand[G4]	-12.40256	8.951621	-1.42	0.1608	0.058823529	-0.72956	
Lab/Stand[G5]	-2.486992	6.786606	0.39	0.7007	0.058823529	-0.14629	
Lab/Stand[G7]	13.635422	9.654196	1.4	0.168	0.058823529	0.802084	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[TARGET]	-0.892665	3.390409	-0.3	0.7653	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831/A/A/A]	-7.451863	10.51901	-0.72	0.474	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831/B/A/A]	-4.27026	7.375923	-0.6	0.5521	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831/C/A/A]	-3.71068	9.127202	-0.5	0.6183	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831/C/B/B]	10.887534	9.233932	1.2	0.2359	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-1/B/A/A]	2.1297395	7.576614	0.26	0.794	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-1/C/A/A]	4.872552	10.3427	0.48	0.6305	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-1/C/B/B]	-2.312466	9.233932	-0.23	0.8178	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-1/D/B/B]	16.548137	10.51901	1.56	0.1241	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-1/E/B/B]	-4.456067	4.829822	-0.96	0.3426	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-1/F/B/B]	0.3709006	5.737561	0.01	0.9933	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-1/G/B/B]	-2.21068	9.127202	-0.34	0.7376	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-1/H/C/C]	-5.450028	4.771886	-1.19	0.2394	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-1/J/D/C]	1.7423691	3.822088	0.58	0.5623	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-2/J/D/C]	9.6745343	3.03544	3.23	0.002	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-2/ K/ ISB-825/D]	2.1875343	9.233932	0.26	0.799	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-2/ K/D/D]	-2.241035	3.919792	-0.61	0.547	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-3/ K/ E/D]	-6.185353	4.330066	-1.08	0.2846	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-3/ K/D/D]	-4.915843	4.086568	-1.11	0.2716	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-3/ L/ E/ E]	-9.339136	9.504109	-0.96	0.3393	0.5	-4.66957	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-4/ K/ E/D]	1.8862236	5.722246	0.31	0.7543	0	0	
Oil/ Hardware with TARGET[831-4/ L/ E/ E]	3.1365513	4.918107	0.63	0.529	0.5	1.568276	
					estimate	42.42673	
					Target	42.5	
					difference	-0.07327	
					add 0.073 to bring L/E/E re	sults back to Target	

Performance you can rely on.



Why not use the simple average of Cam Wear for L/E/E?

- My opinion is that we should always use • models/LSMEANS estimates for targets and standard deviations, especially for larger data sets
- The simple average of Cam wear does not take • into account the imbalance across Lab/Stand
- The LSMEANS estimates compared to the target, employ an average lab effect

• Lab/Stand N Rows A1 4 A2 9 **A**3 8 A4 12 5 A5 1 B1 6 6 B2 3 8 B3 7 **B4** 5 Q 2 10 D1 11 F1 5 12 G1 15 G2 2 13 14 G3 12 15 G4 1 2 16 G5 17 G6 0 18 G7 1

Highlighted the labs that have batch L/E/E cam wear data, beyond the two new stands D1 and G7. All the data is used to estimate an average lab effect



Model: ACSW by Lab/Stand and Hardware 92 chartable tests

La

90



Response ACSW



Observatio	ons (or S	um Wgts)	92							
Analysis of Variance										
		Sum of								
Source	DF	Squares	Mean Square	F Ratio						
Model	30	4825.8551	160.862	1.9303						
Frror	61	5083.3165	83,333	Prob > F						

C. Total 91 9909.1716

Enectress												
			Sum of									
Source	Nparm	DF	Squares	F Ratio	Prob >							
Lab/Stand	16	16	2084.6754	1.5635	0.1073							
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID	14	14	1765.1363	1.5130	0.1337							

0.0150*

o/Stand											
east Squares Means Table											
	Least										
evel	Sq Mean	Std Error	Mean								
41	38.831606	5.479832	38.3750								
42	43.158509	3.746320	44.5111								
43	37.764493	3.999592	38.6875								
44	45.623615	3.279239	46.4417								
45	43.930366	9.576453	50.7000								
B1	44.911029	4.600647	42.4833								
B2	43.875800	6.191813	42.8667								
B3	45.934701	4.557784	49.1000								
B4	46.083105	5.238658	42.0200								
D1	72.257063	7.882960	72.1000								
F1	42.242467	5.561579	43.8600								
G1	46.081683	3.018466	46.1214								
G2	43.433659	7.255297	42.5000								
G3	51.607763	3.723293	51.8000								
3 4	36.030366	9.576453	42.8000								
G5	44.744708	9.843729	40.8000								
G7	62.457063	10.188601	62.3000								

Least Squares Means Plot



ARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID										
Least Squares Means Table										
Level	Least Sq Mean	Std Error	Mean							
TARGET	45.476223	3.403918	42.4857							
A/A/A	39.967416	10.969164	35.8000							
B/A/A	45.249801	5.999780	42.8250							
C/A/A	45.703237	7.153897	41.7500							
C/B/B	50.386267	7.311184	49.6000							
D/B/B	63.967416	10.969164	59.8000							
E/B/B	41.699897	5.141388	40.8500							
F/B/B	46.576982	5.941708	44.3000							
G/B/B	43.928199	9.582212	43.6000							
H/C/C	40.741173	5.031006	39.2750							
J/D/C	53.179516	2.408394	51.4750							
K/ ISB-825/D	48.286267	9.752945	47.5000							
K/D/D	42.465174	3.204123	39.8385							
K/ E/D	42.267846	3.743163	44.0900							
L/ E/ E	46.252819	4.083678	54.8000							

Least Squares Means Plot



Ignoring oil re-blend (data: 92 tests)



92 tests						
Taking target into account						
		0.15		B 1 1.1		
Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob> t		estimate
Intercept	46.409882	2.155215	21.53	<.0001	1	46.40988
Lab/Stand[A1]	-7.578276	5.109229	-1.48	0.1432	0.058823529	-0.44578
Lab/Stand[A2]	-3.251373	3.645444	-0.89	0.376	0.058823529	-0.19126
Lab/Stand[A3]	-8.645389	3.488589	-2.48	0.016	0.058823529	-0.50855
Lab/Stand[A4]	-0.786267	3.433203	-0.23	0.8196	0.058823529	-0.04625
Lab/Stand[A5]	-2.479516	8.906713	-0.28	0.7817	0.058823529	-0.14585
Lab/Stand[B1]	-1.498853	4.723753	-0.32	0.7521	0.058823529	-0.08817
Lab/Stand[B2]	-2.534082	6.081899	-0.42	0.6784	0.058823529	-0.14906
Lab/Stand[B3]	-0.475181	4.066091	-0.12	0.9074	0.058823529	-0.02795
Lab/Stand[B4]	-0.326777	4.789571	-0.07	0.9458	0.058823529	-0.01922
Lab/Stand[D1]	25.847181	7.310336	3.54	0.0008	0.058823529	1.520422
Lab/Stand[F1]	-4.167416	6.081899	-0.69	0.4958	0.058823529	-0.24514
Lab/Stand[G1]	-0.328199	2.913029	-0.11	0.9107	0.058823529	-0.01931
Lab/Stand[G2]	-2.976223	6.953506	-0.43	0.6701	0.058823529	-0.17507
Lab/Stand[G3]	5.1978804	3.213288	1.62	0.1109	0.058823529	0.305758
Lab/Stand[G4]	-10.37952	8.906713	-1.17	0.2484	0.058823529	-0.61056
Lab/Stand[G5]	-1.665174	9.153992	-0.18	0.8563	0.058823529	-0.09795
Lab/Stand[G7]	16.047181	9.497663	1.69	0.0962	0.058823529	0.943952
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[TARGET]	-0.933659	3.312523	-0.28	0.779	0	C
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[A/A/A]	-6.442467	10.15643	-0.63	0.5282	0	C
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[B/A/A]	-1.160081	5.609663	-0.21	0.8369	0	C
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[C/A/A]	-0.706645	6.920808	-0.1	0.919	0	C
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[C/B/B]	3.9763849	6.845758	0.58	0.5635	0	C
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[D/B/B]	17.557533	10.15643	1.73	0.0889	0	(
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[E/B/B]	-4.709986	4,796743	-0.98	0.33	0	0
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[F/B/B]	0.1670994	5.683816	0.03	0.9766	0	(
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[G/B/B]	-2.481683	9.018488	-0.28	0.7841	0	(
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[H/C/C]	-5.668709	4,725534	-1 2	0.2349	0	ر د
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[1/D/C]	6,7696343	2,894026	2.34	0.0226	0	c C
TARGET CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[K/ ISB-825/D]	1.8763849	9.109083	0.21	0.8375	0	((
	_2 Q//702	3 682109	-1 07	0.2884	0	r
	-4 142036	4 006186	-1.07	0.2004	0	(
	-4.142030	4.000180	-1.03	0.3033	1	-0 15704
	-0.137003	4.324070	-0.05	0.5724	actimato	16 25202
					Targot	40.25282
					difference	42.3
		1			unreience	3.7528

Model: Lab/Stand and Hardware

Option2: ignore oil and look at hardware ONLY

- Subtract 3.75 to current cam wear (after the current -18.5 CF)

Highlighting stands that have tested L/E/E hardware, so that we can see their behavior over batches of parts – ignoring oil re-blend^{nfineum}



Infineum confidential information. Given in confidence to xxxXXX under agreement xxxxxxx

Average Cam wear across batches of parts





13 micrometers below target – only one test 831-3 L/E/E, the other tests are on 831-4



What else can I do to help the SP move forward?

Appendices

Testing for oil differences between 831- 3 and 831- 4 assuming that batches of parts are the same

Testing for oil differences between 831-3 and 831-4, ignoring parts, assuming that CF should not be updated for the most recent parts

TARGET	0
831/A/A/A	0
831/B/A/A	0
831/C/A/A	0
831/C/B/B	0
831-1/B/A/A	0
831-1/C/A/A	0
831-1/C/B/B	0
831-1/D/B/B	0
831-1/E/B/B	0
831-1/F/B/B	0
831-1/G/B/B	0
831-1/H/C/C	0
831-1/J/D/C	0
831-2/J/D/C	0
831-2/ K/ ISB-825/D	0
831-2/ K/D/D	0
831-3/ K/ E/D	0.3333
831-3/ K/D/D	0.3333
831-3/ L/ E/ E	0.3333
831-4/ K/ E/D	-0.5
831-4/ L/ E/ E	-0.5
Estimate	-9.325
Std Error	5.449
t Ratio	-1.711
Prob> t	0.0928
SS	241.39

92 chartable tests: no exclusions Model: Lab/Stand and Hardware

The difference between dash 3 and dash 4 is 9.3 µm. This difference is not statistically significant at 5% level. P-value is 0.0928

Sean has confirmed that 831-4 used the exact same base oil and components as 831-3.



But on the transformed scale the difference is statistically significant at 5% level

Data subset: JDC parts forward... 56 tests

Data subset: JDC parts forward... 56 tests --- Travis suggestion to deal with potential variability change



ACSW vs. date



Additional calculations based on the subset: subtract 3.6 µm from current CF corrected ACSW



RMSE = 10.36						
reduced set J/D/C forward						
Expanded Estimates						
Nominal factors expanded to all levels						
						Model: Lab/Stand; Hardware
Term	Estimate	Std Error	t Ratio	Prob> t		
Intercept	46.697433	2.957817	15.79	<.0001	1	RMSE: 10.36 µm
Lab/Stand[A1]	-9.158542	6.110807	-1.5	0.142	0.076923077	
Lab/Stand[A2]	-11.38312	6.142339	-1.85	0.0714	0.076923077	
Lab/Stand[A3]	-9.708528	4.180673	-2.32	0.0255	0.076923077	
Lab/Stand[A4]	-0.790082	4.781713	-0.17	0.8696	0.076923077	
Lab/Stand[A5]	-3.516455	9.914725	-0.35	0.7247	0.076923077	
Lab/Stand[B3]	-1.195813	4.504151	-0.27	0.792	0.076923077	
Lab/Stand[B4]	-0.389516	5.292154	-0.07	0.9417	0.076923077	
Lab/Stand[D1]	26.028427	8.103447	3.21	0.0026	0.076923077	
Lab/Stand[G1]	2.3217457	3.921567	0.59	0.5572	0.076923077	
Lab/Stand[G3]	4.8594984	3.504617	1.39	0.1734	0.076923077	
Lab/Stand[G4]	-11.41645	9.914725	-1.15	0.2566	0.076923077	
Lab/Stand[G5]	-1.879585	10.16872	-0.18	0.8543	0.076923077	
Lab/Stand[G7]	16.228427	10.54021	1.54	0.1317	0.076923077	
CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[J/D/C]	7.5190218	3.25936	2.31	0.0265	0	
CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[K/D/D]	-4.017848	3.837133	-1.05	0.3015	0	
CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[K/ ISB-825/D]	1.5926486	9.13525	0.17	0.8625	0	
CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[K/ E/D]	-4.467963	3.910686	-1.14	0.2602	0	
CAM/CRH/TAPP ID[L/ E/ E]	-0.62586	4.474306	-0.14	0.8895	1	
					46.07157355	
					42.5	
				difference	3.571573546	

Infineum

Open circles represent tests that do not meet the statistical criteria (OC)





ISB Reference Oil Targets												
		Effectiv	ve Dates	Average Car	nshaft Wear	Average Tappe	t Weight Loss					
Oil	n	From	To1	X	s	$\overline{\mathbf{X}}$	S					
821 (PC10E)	6	6-4-05	12-31-05	34.6	4.6	56.2	9.6					
830-2	6	6-4-05	12-31-05	39.8	9.0	85.9	16.0					
831 (PC10B)	6	6-4-05	1-24-07	41.9	5.6	88.7	15.9					
	10	1-25-07	8-6-07	42.8	5.4	94.9	15.3					
	14	8-7-07	***	42.5	5.0	97.2	14.8					
831-1 ²		8-7-07	10-18-17	42.5	5.0	97.2	14.8					
831-1 ²		10-19-17	***	42.5	8.7	97.2	14.8					
831-2 ²		8-6-13	10-18-17	42.5	5.0	97.2	14.8					
831-2 ²		10-19-17	***	42.5	8.7	97.2	14.8					
831-3 ²		8-11-15	10-18-17	42.5	5.0	97.2	14.8					
831-3 ²		10-19-17	***	42.5	8.7	97.2	14.8					
831-4 ²		6-14-17	10-18-17	42.5	5.0	97.2	14.8					
831-4 ²		10-19-17	***	42.5	8.7	97.2	14.8					



Permission is given for storage of one copy in electronic means for reference purposes. Further reproduction of any material is prohibited without prior written consent of Infineum International Limited.

The information contained in this document is based upon data believed to be reliable at the time of going to press and relates only to the matters specifically mentioned in this document. Although Infineum has used reasonable skill and care in the preparation of this information, in the absence of any overriding obligations arising under a specific contract, no representation, warranty (express or implied), or guarantee is made as to the suitability, accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information; nothing in this document shall reduce the user's responsibility to satisfy itself as to the suitability, accuracy, reliability, and completeness of such information for its particular use; there is no warranty against intellectual property infringement; and Infineum shall not be liable for any loss, damage or injury that may occur from the use of this information other than death or personal injury caused by its negligence. No statement shall be construed as an endorsement of any product or process. For greater certainty, before use of information contained in this document, particularly if the product is used for a purpose or under conditions which are abnormal or not reasonably foreseeable, this information must be reviewed with the supplier of such information.

Links to third party websites from this document are provided solely for your convenience. Infineum does not control and is not responsible for the content of those third party websites. If you decide to access any of those websites, you do so entirely at your own risk. Please also refer to our Privacy Policy.

'INFINEUM', the interlocking Ripple Device, the corporate mark comprising INFINEUM and the interlocking Ripple Device and 润英联 are trademarks of Infineum International Limited.

© 2020 Infineum International Limited. All rights reserved.