MEMORANDUM: 05-034

DATE: May 27, 2005

TO: James McCord,

Chairman, Single Cylinder Diesel Surveillance Panel

FROM: Scott Parke


SUBJECT: 1P Testing from October 1, 2004 through March 31, 2005

Five calibration tests were reported to the Test Monitoring Center during the period from October 1, 2004 through March 31, 2005. The data from these tests is shown on page 7. Following is a summary of testing activity this period.

	Reporting Data	Calibrated on 3-31-05
Number of Labs	5	5
Number of Stands	5	5

Stands reporting data this period were distributed as shown below:


1P LABORATORY / STAND DISTRIBUTION




Test Distribution by Oil and Validity

				Tot	tals
		1004-3	1005-1	Last Period	This Period
Accepted for Calibration	AC	5	0	2	5
Rejected Mild	OC	0	0	0	0
Rejected Severe	OC	0	0	0	0
Rejected for EWMA Precision	OC	0	0	0	0
Rejected for Shewhart Precision	OC	0	0	0	0
Operationally Invalid (lab)	LC	0	0	0	0
Operationally Invalid (lab/TMC)	RC	0	0	0	0
Aborted Calibration	XC	0	0	1	0
Total		5	0	3	5

1P CALIBRATION ATTEMPT SUMMARY




OPERATIONALLY VALID 1P TESTS FAILING ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA




The above chart shows the percentage of failed but operationally valid tests. No tests failed in any of the last four report periods.

No LTMS deviations were written this period (none have ever been written for this test).


By lab, the tests run this report period were distributed as shown below:



With all operationally invalid tests removed, the distribution looks like this:



And the by-lab distribution of lost tests:



Lost Tests per Start by Oil and Lab

		1004-3			1005-1		Total			
Lab	Lost	Starts	%	Lost	Starts	%	Lost	Starts	%	
A	0	1	0				0	1	0	
В	0	1	0				0	1	0	
D	0	1	0				0	1	0	
F	0	1	0				0	1	0	
G	0	1	0				0	1	0	
Total	0	5	0				0	5	0	

Lost tests are those that were either aborted, rejected by lab, or operationally invalid.

Causes for Lost Tests

)	Oil		Validity			Loss Rate	
Lab Cause			1004-3	1005-1	ЭТ	RC	ЭX	Tost	Starts	%
No tests were lost this period.	ost this period.		•							%0
		Lost	0	0	0	0	0			
		Starts	5	0	5	5	5			
		%	%0	%0	%0	%0	%0			

Average ∆/s by Lab								
Lab	n	TGC	WDP	TLC	OC*	EOTOC*		
A	1	0.229	-0.615	-0.142	0.646	0.243		
В	1	0.326	-0.524	-0.294	1.196	0.509		
D	1	1.650	-0.840	-0.617	0.523	-0.200		
F	1	0.584	-0.149	1.721	0.523	0.130		
G	1	-0.062	-0.120	0.333	0.605	0.370		
Industry	5	0.545	-0.450	0.200	0.699	0.210		

^{*} Transformed

DATA FROM ALL OPERATIONALLY VALID TESTS REPORTED THIS PERIOD:


LTMS DATE	LAB	STAND	OIL	TG	WD	TL	ос	ETOC	TGYI	WDYI	TLYI	OCYI	ETOCYI
20041022	F	2	1004-3	34.00	311.0	50.75	7.4	8.3	0.584	-0.149	1.721	0.523	0.130
20041024	Α	5	1004-3	31.25	284.2	26.25	7.7	8.8	0.229	-0.615	-0.142	0.646	0.243
20041030	G	4	1004-3	29.00	312.7	32.50	7.6	9.4	-0.062	-0.120	0.333	0.605	0.370
20041110	В	2	1004-3	32.00	289.4	24.25	9.2	10.1	0.326	-0.524	-0.294	1.196	0.509
20041206	D	2A	1004-3	42.25	271.2	20.00	7.4	7.0	1.650	-0.840	-0.617	0.523	-0.200

DISCUSSION OF INDUSTRY PERFORMANCE OVER THIS PERIOD

TGC:

The average Yi reported this period was 0.545 (see table on previous page). Using the homogeneous dataset standard deviation for TGC (7.74 demerits) to compute an average Δ yields 4.22 demerits severe. Severity and precision remained within acceptable limits throughout this period.


CATERPILLAR 1P INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA



Shown above is the LTMS/Cusum plot for TGC.


WDP:

The average Yi reported for WDP this period was -0.450 mild (see table on page 7). The homogeneous dataset standard deviation of 57.6 converts this to -25.92 demerits. Severity and precision remained within acceptable limits. The LTMS/Cusum plot is shown below.




TLC:

The average TLC Yi reported this period was 0.200 (see table on page 7). Using the homogeneous dataset standard deviation of 13.15 to compute an average delta yields 2.63 severe. TLC remained within both severity and precision limits. The LTMS/Cusum chart is shown below.




Oil Consumption (OC):

The average transformed OC Yi this period was 0.699 (see table on page 7). Computing an average transformed delta using the homogeneous dataset standard deviation of 0.3238 gives 0.2263. Back-transforming this value gives 1.25 g/h severe. This parameter has been severe since the completion of the matrix. Precision remained within acceptable limits. The LTMS/Cusum plot for OC is shown below.



EOT Oil Consumption (ETOC):


The average transformed ETOC Yi this period was 0.210 (see table on page 7) which, using the homogeneous dataset standard deviation of 0.5177, converts to 0.1087 which back-transforms to 1.11 g/h. As with average oil consumption, ETOC has been severe since the end of the matrix. Precision remained within acceptable limits. The LTMS/Cusum plot for ETOC is shown below.



POOLED S:

Shown below is a bar chart comparing the pooled s values for the 1P test parameters over the last four report periods. Please note that the values for oil consumption (OCTI) and end of test oil consumption (ETOCTI) have been multiplied by 10 to allow these parameters to be shown on the same plot as the other parameters.

1P REFERENCE TEST PRECISION POOLED STANDARD DEVIATION BY SIX-MONTH ASTM REPORT PERIOD



OUALITY INDEX:

No Quality Index Deviations were written this period. A total of eight QI Deviations have been written for the 1P test.


The first three were written for tests from a lab experiencing QI implementation problems during the installation of new control hardware in February of 1998 (the QI requirements were implemented in January of 1998). The fourth was for the same lab while again installing the same hardware on another stand in May of 1998.

The fifth and sixth were written when a lab experienced a lab-wide catastrophic failure of the air handling system that caused an instantaneous loss of air pressure in June of 1998.

The seventh was due to a valve failure (caught and corrected within one hour) that caused an off spec coolant out temperature for a test reported in August of 1999.

The most recent was in May of 2000 when a lab's air handling system was disrupted by the direct inlet-to-exhaust airflow path provided by the EGR cooler on an adjacent 1Q stand. Until 1Q control strategies were revised, unexpected 1Q shutdowns caused air pressure spikes throughout the lab.

Shown on the following page is a plot showing all QI's reported to date for all controlled parameters.



Figures along the horizontal axis inclicate the number of post-matrix tests where $\Omega I < 0.000$ Dots represent matrix tests; dashes represent post-matrix tests

STATUS OF REFERENCE OIL SUPPLY:

At the end of this report period, the testing oil supply stood as outlined in the following table:

		@1	TMC
Oil	Cans @Labs	Cans	Gallons
1004-3	6	38	579
1005	0	3	51
1005-1	1	0	5
1005-2	6	91	1367
Total	13	132	2002

^{*} Future reblends of oils marked with an asterisk are not obtainable by TMC.

Be aware that this table presumes that *all* of each of these oils is dedicated to the 1P test area. All of these oils are also used in the other diesel test areas.

TIMELINE OF SIGNIFICANT EVENTS IN THE LIFE OF THE 1P TEST:

Effective Date	Info Letter	
2400	200001	
19970219		START OF 1P MATRIX
19970604		LAST 1P MATRIX TEST
19980924	98-1	SPEC AND CALIBRATION PROCEDURE FOR OIL WEIGH SCALE PUMPS ADDED
19980924	98-1	BRAIDED STAINLESS STEEL/TEFLON HOSES REQUIRED FOR WEIGH SCALE
19980924	98-1	PRE-TEST LINER CLEANING - USE ONLY EF-411 FOR RUST PREVENTION
19980924	98-1	INSTRUCTIONS FOR VALIDITY DECLARATION
19980924	98-1	RATING VERIFICATION REQUIRED
19980924	98-1	REVISIONS TO THERMOCOUPLE SPECIFICATIONS - DIAMETER SPEC REMOVED
19980924 19980924	98-1 98-1	DUMMY INLET AIR HEATERS PERMITTED INSTRUCTIONS FOR GROUPING AND ROUNDING PISTON AREAS FOR RATING
19980924	98-1	REPORT FORM AND DATA DICTIONARY CHANGES
19990419	99-1	TEST STAND INSTRUMENTATION CALIBRATION REQUIREMENTS
19990419	99-1	VISUAL INSPECTION OF INTAKE AIR BARRELS
19990419	99-1	RE-CALIBRATION REOUIREMENTS WHEN CRANK IS REMOVED
19990419	99-1	USE OF MOBIL EF-411 AS BUILD-UP/FLUSHING OIL
20031121	03-1	NICKEL-PLATED OIL COOLER APPROVED FOR USE
20031121	03-1	DATA DICTIONARY AND REPORT FORMS (VERSION=20031105) DD AND FORMS SEPARATED
		FROM THE STANDARD
20040924		FIRST PC-9 FUEL TEST
20050321	05-1	PC-9 FUEL REPLACES LSRD4 AND SEVERAL EDITORIAL CHANGES

RATING:

No 1P re-rates were required during this report period. The table below summarizes the re-rates for this report period:

Rating Re-rate Summary

Total number of re-rates requested	0
Number of tests where lab rating was changed	0
Number of tests where referee rating was changed	0
Number of tests where no changes were made	0

LAB VISITS:

Two 1P lab visits were completed during this report period. Neither lab was able to document that the cleaning solvent in use fully complied with ASTM D235 as stipulated by the procedure.

INFORMATION LETTERS:

Information Letter 05-1 was issued during this report period. It changed the specified test fuel from Dow/Haltermann Howell LSRD4 to ChevronPhillips PC-9. It also made several editorial revisions requested by ASTM Subcommittee D02.B and ASTM Section D02.B0.09.

FUEL BATCH APPROVAL:

During this period, the following fuel batches were approved for testing: SK1021LS11 and TB1121LS02.

SUMMARY

- Over the course of this report period, TGC, WD, and TLC remained within acceptable severity limits. OC (and ETOC) have been severe since the completion of the matrix.
- Precision for all parameters remained within acceptable limits throughout this report period.

SDP/sdp/astm0405.doc/mem05-034.sdp.doc

c: J. L. Zalar

F. M. Farber

Abdul Cassim, Caterpillar

Chuck Dutart, Caterpillar

Single Cylinder Diesel Surveillance Panel

ftp://ftp.astmtmc.cmu.edu/docs/diesel/scote/semiannualreports/1p-04-2005.pdf

Distribution: email