MEMORANDUM: 03-111

DATE: November 7, 2003

TO: James McCord,

Chairman, Single Cylinder Diesel Surveillance Panel

FROM: Scott Parke


SUBJECT: 1K Testing from April 1, 2003 through September 30, 2003

One calibration test was reported to the Test Monitoring Center during the period from April 1, 2003 through September 30, 2003. The data from the operationally valid tests is shown on page 7. Following is a summary of testing activity this period.

	Reporting Data	Calibrated on 9-30-03
Number of Labs	1	3
Number of Stands	1	3

Stands reporting data this period were distributed as shown below:


### 1K LABORATORY / STAND DISTRIBUTION




# **Test Distribution by Oil and Validity**

					To	tals
		809-1	810-2	811-2	Last Period	This Period
Accepted for Calibration	AC	0	0	1	3	1
Rejected Mild	OC	0	0	0	0	0
Rejected Severe	OC	0	0	0	0	0
Rejected for EWMA Precision	OC	0	0	0	0	0
Rejected for Shewhart Precision	OC	0	0	0	0	0
Operationally Invalid (lab)	LC	0	0	0	0	0
Operationally Invalid (lab/TMC)	RC	0	0	0	0	0
Aborted Calibration	XC	0	0	0	0	0
Total		0	0	1	3	1

# 1K CALIBRATION ATTEMPT SUMMARY




# OPERATIONALLY VALID 1K TESTS FAILING ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA




The above chart shows the percentage of failed but operationally valid tests. No tests failed to meet the LTMS criteria this or any of the last four periods.

No LTMS deviations were written this period (none have ever been written for the 1K test).


By lab, the tests run this report period were distributed as shown below:



With all operationally invalid tests removed, the distribution looks like this:



And the by-lab distribution of lost tests:



# Lost Tests per Start by Oil and Lab

		809-1			810-2			811-2			Total	
Lab	Lost	Starts	%									
A							0	1	0	0	1	0
Total							0	1	0	0	1	0

Lost tests are those that were either aborted, rejected by lab, or operationally invalid.

# Causes for Lost Tests

			Oil			Validity			Loss Rate			
Lab	Cause		809-1	810-2	811-2	LC	RC	XC	MC	Lost	Starts	%
	No 1K tests were lost t	his period.								0	1	0%
		Lost	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Starts	0	0	0	1	1	1	1			
		%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Average ∆/s by Lab								
Lab	n	TGF	WDK	TTLHC*	BSOC	EOTOC		
A	1	0.404	-0.227	1.211	-1.000	-0.161		
Industry	1	0.404	-0.227	1.211	-1.000	-0.161		
809/809-1	0							

<sup>\*</sup> Transformed TLHC

# DATA FROM ALL OPERATIONALLY VALID TESTS REPORTED THIS PERIOD:


LTMS DATE	LAB	STAND	OIL	TG	WD	TL	ос	ETOC	TGYI	WDYI	TLYI	OCYI	ETOCYI
20030929	Α	10	811-2	34	315 0	7	0 17	0.21	0 404	-0 227	1 211	-1 000	-0 161

#### DISCUSSION OF INDUSTRY PERFORMANCE OVER THIS PERIOD

TGF:

During this report period the TGF Yi reported (shown in the table on the previous page) was severe at 0.404. Using 809-1's test target standard deviation of 15.7 to compute an average ∆ yields 6% TGF severe.

CATERPILLAR 1K INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA




Shown above is the LTMS/Cusum plot for TGF. TGF remained within both severity and precision LTMS limits over this report period.

The CUSUM plot for TGF is also unremarkable and has been virtually flat since January of 1994.

#### WDK:

As has generally been the case since October of 1994, the WDK result reported was mild this period at -0.227 (see table on page 7). Using the target standard deviation for 809-1 (35.6) converts this to 8.1 demerits mild. The LTMS/Cusum plot is shown below. WDK precision remains well within limits.


CATERPILLAR 1K INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA



#### TLHC:

The transformed TLHC Yi reported this period was 1.211severe (see table on page 7). Using the test target standard deviation of 1.1 from oil 809-1 to compute an average transformed delta yields 1.332. Backtransforming this value gives 3% TLHC severe. Overall, this parameter has exhibited on-target performance for the life of this test.

#### CATERPILLAR 1K INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA




The LTMS/Cusum plot for transformed TLHC is shown above. Precision and severity were both within limits throughout this report period.

#### **BSOC:**

The BSOC Yi reported this period was -1.000. Computing a delta using the test target standard deviation of 0.145 for oil 809-1 gives 0.145 g/kWh mild. The LTMS/Cusum plot for BSOC is shown below. The Cusum plot indicates a slight but continuous mild trend throughout the life of the test.


CATERPILLAR 1K INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA



#### EOTOC:

As usual, EOTOC closely mirrors BSOC. This period, EOTOC Yi result reported was -0.161. Multiplying by the target standard deviation for 809-1 (0.332 g/kWh) gives an equivalent EOTOC of 0.05 g/kWh. The LTMS/Cusum plot for EOTOC is shown below.

CATERPILLAR 1K INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA




#### POOLED S:

Shown below is a bar chart comparing the pooled s values for the 1K test parameters over the last four report periods. Please note that the values for TLHC have been multiplied by 10 and the values for BSOC and EOTOC have been multiplied by 100 to allow these parameters to be shown on the same plot as the other parameters. Only one test being reported this period results in degrees-of-freedom equal to zero and thus no bar shown for this period.

### 1K REFERENCE TEST PRECISION

POOLED STANDARD DEVIATION BY SIX-MONTH ASTM REPORT PERIOD



# Transformed TLHC (TLTI) is scaled by 10 for display on the common y-axis BSOC (OC) and ETOC are scaled by 100 for display on the common y-axis

#### STATUS OF REFERENCE OIL SUPPLY:

At the end of this report period, the testing oil supply stood as outlined in the following table:

		(a), Th	MC
Oil	Cans @ Labs	Cans	Gallons
809	3	0	0
809-1	14	301	3016
810-2	9	360	3605
811-1	9	2	20
811-2	3	168	1682
Total	38	831	8323

<sup>\*</sup> Future reblends of oils marked with an asterisk are *not* obtainable by TMC.

Be aware that this table presumes that *all* of each of these oils is dedicated to the 1K test area. All of these oils are also used in the 1N test area and 809-1 is used in several other test areas.

#### TIMELINE OF SIGNIFICANT EVENTS IN THE LIFE OF THE 1K TEST:

```
Effective Info
Date
            Letter
                        START OF 1K TESTING
FIRST TEST FOR 1K CALIBRATION
19891002
19900506
                        FIRST USE OF 811-1
FIRST USE OF 810-1
19901215
19910220
                        LAST USE OF 811
19910407
19910710
                        INDUSTRY CORRECTION FACTORS FOR CANDIDATE TESTING
19910723
                        LAST USE OF 810
19910816
                        FIRST USE OF 809-1
19910927
                       INFORMATION LETTER 1 - REWRITTEN PROCEDURE
19911015
            3
                        COOLING SYSTEM MODIFICATION
19911015
                        COOLANT BYPASS VALVE
19911015
                        CYLINDER LINER WEAR MEASUREMENT DEVICE
19911015
                       TEST FUEL NAME CHANGE
19911015
            3
                        REPORT FORMS
19920601
                       CLOSED COOLING SYSTEM
19920601
            4
                       PISTON PACKAGING FOR REFEREE RATING
                      MINERAL FREE WATER - DEFINITION
19920601
            4
19920601
            5
                        FLUSHING CART FLOW DIAGRAM
                       TEMPERATURE; PRESSURE AND SPEED STANDARD CALIBRATION TRACEABILITY HUMIDITY MONITORING SYSTEM FUEL INJECTION PUMP TIMING USING THE BUBBLE METHOD
            6 6 7
19920731
19920731
19921015
19921015
                       PISTON RATER CALIBRATION
OIL SAMPLING FREQUENCY FOR USED OIL ANALYSIS
19921015
19930324
                        INTERNAL ENGINE PAINT AND SUPPLIER
19930702
            9
                        CATERPILLAR BRAND COOLANT
19930708
            10
                        PROCEDURE DISCLAIMER
19930708
            10
                        CYLINDER HEAD COOLANT PASSAGE CLEANING
19930708
            10
                        CRANKCASE PRESSURE INCREASE DURING BLOWBY MEASUREMENT
19930708
                        ACCEPTABLE CYLINDER HEAD/JUG ASSEMBLIES
            10
19930708
            10
                        RING GAP MEASUREMENT - FEELER GAUGES/TAPER GAUGE
19930708
            10
                        PISTON POSITION DURING DOWNTIME
19930708
                        OIL CONSUMPTION CALCULATIONS
            10
19930708
                        OIL CONSUMPTION CALCULATION AFTER SHUTDOWN
            10
19930708
                        MISSING OR BAD TEST DATA
19930708
            10
                        TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR IN TABLE A12
19940101
            11
                        TEST RUN NUMBERING
19940101
            11
                        PISTON PHOTOGRAPHS
19940101
            11
                        USE OF AN ALIGNMENT FIXTURE IN P-TUBE AIMING
                        LOCATION OF LINER SURFACE FINISH MEASUREMENTS
LOCATION OF LINER BORE DIAMETER MEASUREMENTS
ENGINE ROTATION SPEED DURING FLUSHING
19940101
            11
19940101
            11
19940101
            11
19940101
                        ACCEPTABLE CYLINDER LINER PART NUMBERS
            11
                        CALIBRATION FREQUENCY
19940101
            11
19940102
                        CATERPILLAR COOLANT DEADLINE
                        OUTLIERS AS A TEST VALIDITY CRITERIA INSTRUMENTATION CALIBRATION TOLERANCES AND TIME CONSTANTS
19940301
            12
19940301
            12
19940316
            13
                        FUEL DILUTION AS AN OPERATIONAL VALIDITY CRITERION
19950403
                        LAST USE OF 809
19950531
                        LAST NON-DISCRIMINATION RUN ON 810-X
19950907
                        FIRST LTMS TEST
19960510
                        1K/1N DATA DICTIONARY AND REPORT FORMS (VERSION=19960304)
19960913
            96-2
                        BETA TESTED 1K/1N DATA DICTIONARY AND REPORT FORMS (VERSION=19960913)
19961217
                        FIRST 810-X DISCRIMINATION RUN
19970320
            97-1
                        USE OF LOW SULFUR FUEL FOR THE 1N TEST
19970320
            97-1
                        ADDITION OF END OF TEST OIL CONSUMPTION (EOTOC) AS A REPORTED PARAMETER
19970320
            97-1
                        ENGINE PARTS WARRANTY CLAIM PROCEDURE CHANGE
19970320
            97-1
                        LTMS REQUIREMENTS FOR CALIBRATION
                        CLARIFICATION OF SPECIFICATION FOR HUMIDITY CALIBRATION CLARIFICATION OF WHEN REFEREE RATINGS ARE REQUIRED
19970320
            97-1
19970320
19970320
            97-1
                        ADDITION OF DATA DICTIONARY AND REPORT FORMS TO THE PROCEDURE
                        TEST REPORTING DEADLINES
19970320
            97-1
19970320
                        EXAMPLES FOR SEVERAL OF THE REPORT FORMS
            97-1
19980101
            98-1
                        FUEL SUPPLIER NAME CHANGE
19980101
                        FUEL SAMPLING REQUIREMENTS
            98 - 1
19980101
                        REVISED ENGINE PARTS WARRANTY PROCEDURE & FORM
            98-1
                        810-2 DISCRIMINATION RUNS RETURNED TO LTMS/CAL RUNS, CAL PD = 1YR RATING WORKSHEET ADDED TO TEST REPORT AS FORM 4A ADDED AREAS FOR CLEAN TO RATING SHEETS 5 & 5A
19980101
            98 - 1
19980828
            98-2
19981111
            98 - 3
19990419
            99-1
                        TEST STAND INSTRUMENTATION CALIBRATION REQUIREMENTS
                        COOLANT SYSTEM FLUSHING REQUIREMENTS UPDATED INTAKE AIR FILTER REQUIREMENTS
19990419
            99-1
19990419
            99-1
19990419
            99-1
                        VISUAL INSPECTION OF INTAKE AIR BARRELS
19990419
            99-1
                        RE-CALIBRATION REQUIREMENTS WHEN CRANK IS REMOVED
19990419
            99-1
                        USE OF MOBIL EF-411 AS BUILD-UP/FLUSHING OIL
19990419
            99-1
                        TIME ZONE FOR USE IN EOT REPORTING
19990419
            99-1
20000101
            00-1
                        810-X RUNS WILL OCCUR VOLUNTARILY ONCE PER YEAR
```

#### TIMELINE (continued):

Effective	Info
Date	Letter

20020321 02-1 1K/1N DATA DICTIONARY AND REPORT FORMS (VERSION=20020107) 20030324 FIRST 811-2 TEST

#### RATING:

During this report period, no second referee ratings were requested. The table below summarizes the re-rates for this report period:

### **Rating Re-rate Summary**

Total number of re-rates requested	0
Number of tests where lab rating was changed	0
Number of tests where referee rating was changed	0
Number of tests where no changes were made	0

#### LAB VISITS:

No lab visits were completed this report period.

#### **INFORMATION LETTERS:**

No information letters were issued this report period.

#### **FUEL BATCH APPROVAL:**

During this period, the following fuel batch was approved for testing: RG0221LS03 and RJ1321LS01.

#### **SUMMARY**

- TGF, TLHC, BSOC, and EOTOC severity all remained within acceptable limits this period. As has generally been the case since October of 1994, the WDK result was slightly mild.
- Precision for all parameters remained within acceptable limits throughout this report period.

SDP/sdp/astm1003.doc/mem03-111.sdp.doc

c: J. L. Zalar

F. M. Farber

Abdul Cassim, Caterpillar

Chuck Dutart, Caterpillar

Single Cylinder Diesel Surveillance Panel

ftp://ftp.astmtmc.cmu.edu/docs/diesel/scote/semiannualreports/1k-10-2003.pdf

Distribution: email