



Test Monitoring Center

6555 Penn Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15206-4489
(412) 365-1000

MEMORANDUM: 04-103

DATE: November 23, 2004

TO: James McCord,
Chairman, Single Cylinder Diesel Surveillance Panel

FROM: Scott Parke


SUBJECT: 1K Testing from April 1, 2004 through September 30, 2004

Two calibration tests were reported to the Test Monitoring Center during the period from April 1, 2004 through September 30, 2004. The data from the operationally valid tests is shown on page 7. Following is a summary of testing activity this period.

	Reporting Data	Calibrated on 9-30-04
Number of Labs	1	3
Number of Stands	1	5

Stands reporting data this period were distributed as shown below:


1K LABORATORY / STAND DISTRIBUTION




Test Distribution by Oil and Validity

			Totals			
		809-1	810-2	811-2	Last Period	This Period
Accepted for Calibration	AC	1	0	1	3	2
Rejected Mild	OC	0	0	0	0	0
Rejected Severe	OC	0	0	0	0	0
Rejected for EWMA Precision	OC	0	0	0	0	0
Rejected for Shewhart Precision	OC	0	0	0	0	0
Operationally Invalid (lab)	LC	0	0	0	2	0
Operationally Invalid (lab/TMC)	RC	0	0	0	0	0
Aborted Calibration	XC	0	0	0	2	0
Total		1	0	1	7	2

1K CALIBRATION ATTEMPT SUMMARY



**OPERATIONALLY VALID 1K TESTS
FAILING ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA**




The above chart shows the percentage of failed but operationally valid tests. No tests have failed to meet the LTMS criteria since February of 2001.

No LTMS deviations were written this period (none have ever been written for the 1K test).

By lab, the tests run this report period were distributed as shown below:


**NUMBER OF 1K TESTS REPORTED
BY LAB AND REPORT PERIOD**

(All Test Starts – Both Valid & Invalid)




With all operationally invalid tests removed, the distribution looks like this:

**NUMBER OF OPERATIONALLY VALID
1K TESTS REPORTED
BY LAB AND REPORT PERIOD**



And the by-lab distribution of lost tests:



Lost Tests per Start by Oil and Lab

Lab	809-1			810-2			811-2			Total		
	Lost	Starts	%	Lost	Starts	%	Lost	Starts	%	Lost	Starts	%
B							0	1	0	0	1	0
G	0	1	0							0	1	0
Total	0	1	0				0	1	0	0	2	0

Lost tests are those that were either aborted, rejected by lab, or operationally invalid.

Causes for Lost Tests

Lab	Cause	Oil				Validity				Loss Rate	
		809-1	810-2	811-2	LC	RC	XC	MC	Lost	Starts	%
	No 1K tests were lost this period.								0	1	0%
	Lost	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	Starts	0	0	0	1	1	1	1			
	%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Average Δ/s by Lab						
Lab	n	TGF	WDK	TTLHC*	BSOC	EOTOC
B	1	-1.163	-0.776	-0.868	1.062	0.263
G	1	0.096	0.584	-0.550	0.014	0.018
Industry	2	-0.534	-0.096	-0.709	0.538	0.140
809/809-1	1	0.096	0.584	-0.550	0.014	0.018

* Transformed TLHC

DATA FROM ALL OPERATIONALLY VALID TESTS REPORTED THIS PERIOD:

LTMS


DATE	LAB	STAND	OIL	TG	WD	TL	OC	ETOC	TGYI	WDYI	TLYI	OCYI	ETOCYI
20040508	B	12A	811-1	8	284.3	0	0.37	0.31	-1.163	-0.776	-0.868	1.062	0.263
20040809	G	3	809-1	19	237.2	0	0.27	0.29	0.096	0.584	-0.550	0.014	0.018

DISCUSSION OF INDUSTRY PERFORMANCE OVER THIS PERIOD

TGF:

During this report period the average TGF Y_i reported (shown in the table on the previous page) was mild at -0.534. Using 809-1's test target standard deviation of 15.7 to compute an average Δ yields 8% TGF mild.

CATERPILLAR 1K INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA




Shown above is the LTMS/Cusum plot for TGF. TGF remained within both severity and precision LTMS limits over this report period.

The CUSUM plot for TGF is also unremarkable and has been virtually flat since January of 1994.

WDK:

As has generally been the case since October of 1994, the average WDK result reported was mild this period at -0.096 (see table on page 7). Using the target standard deviation for 809-1 (35.6) converts this to 3.4 demerits mild. The LTMS/Cusum plot is shown below. WDK precision remains well within limits.

CATERPILLAR 1K INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA




TLHC:

The average transformed TLHC Y_i reported this period was -0.709 mild (see table on page 7). Using the test target standard deviation of 1.1 from oil 809-1 to compute an average transformed delta yields 0.780. Back-transforming this value gives 1% TLHC mild. Overall, this parameter has exhibited on-target performance for the life of this test.

CATERPILLAR 1K INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA

FINAL TRANSFORMED TOP LAND HEAVY CARBON




The LTMS/Cusum plot for transformed TLHC is shown above. Precision and severity were both within limits throughout this report period.

BSOC:

The average BSOC Y_i reported this period was 0.538. Computing a delta using the test target standard deviation of 0.145 for oil 809-1 gives 0.078 g/kWh severe. The LTMS/Cusum plot for BSOC is shown below. The Cusum plot indicates a slight but continuous mild trend throughout the life of the test.


CATERPILLAR 1K INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA



EOTOC:

As usual, EOTOC closely mirrors BSOC. This period, the average EOTOC Yi result reported was 0.140. Multiplying by the target standard deviation for 809-1 (0.332 g/kWh) gives an equivalent EOTOC of 0.05 g/kWh. The LTMS/Cusum plot for EOTOC is shown below.


CATERPILLAR 1K INDUSTRY OPERATIONALLY VALID DATA



POOLED S:

Shown below is a bar chart comparing the pooled s values for the 1K test parameters over the last four report periods. Please note that the values for TLHC have been multiplied by 10 and the values for BSOC and EOTOC have been multiplied by 100 to allow these parameters to be shown on the same plot as the other parameters. Where degrees of freedom equal zero, no bars are shown. This will occur where only one test was reported (as in October 2003) or where multiple tests are reported but all are on different oils (as is the case this period).

1K REFERENCE TEST PRECISION
POOLED STANDARD DEVIATION BY SIX-MONTH ASTM REPORT PERIOD



STATUS OF REFERENCE OIL SUPPLY:

At the end of this report period, the testing oil supply stood as outlined in the following table:

Oil	Cans @ Labs	@ TMC	
		Cans	Gallons
809	3	0	0
809-1	13	291	2919
810-2	9	360	3605
811-1	9	1	10
811-2	3	166	1662
Total	37	818	8196

* Future reblends of oils marked with an asterisk are *not* obtainable by TMC.

Be aware that this table presumes that *all* of each of these oils is dedicated to the 1K test area. All of these oils are also used in the 1N test area and 809-1 is used in several other test areas.

TIMELINE OF SIGNIFICANT EVENTS IN THE LIFE OF THE 1K TEST:

Effective Date	Info Letter	
19891002		START OF 1K TESTING
19900506		FIRST TEST FOR 1K CALIBRATION
19901215		FIRST USE OF 811-1
19910220		FIRST USE OF 810-1
19910407		LAST USE OF 811
19910710	2	INDUSTRY CORRECTION FACTORS FOR CANDIDATE TESTING
19910723		LAST USE OF 810
19910816		FIRST USE OF 809-1
19910927	1	INFORMATION LETTER 1 - REWRITTEN PROCEDURE
19911015	3	COOLING SYSTEM MODIFICATION
19911015	3	COOLANT BYPASS VALVE
19911015	3	CYLINDER LINER WEAR MEASUREMENT DEVICE
19911015	3	TEST FUEL NAME CHANGE
19911015	3	REPORT FORMS
19920601	4	CLOSED COOLING SYSTEM
19920601	4	PISTON PACKAGING FOR REFEREE RATING
19920601	4	MINERAL FREE WATER - DEFINITION
19920601	5	FLUSHING CART FLOW DIAGRAM
19920731	6	TEMPERATURE; PRESSURE AND SPEED STANDARD CALIBRATION TRACEABILITY
19920731	6	HUMIDITY MONITORING SYSTEM
19921015	7	FUEL INJECTION PUMP TIMING USING THE BUBBLE METHOD
19921015	7	PISTON RATER CALIBRATION
19921015	7	OIL SAMPLING FREQUENCY FOR USED OIL ANALYSIS
19930324	8	INTERNAL ENGINE PAINT AND SUPPLIER
19930702	9	CATERPILLAR BRAND COOLANT
19930708	10	PROCEDURE DISCLAIMER
19930708	10	CYLINDER HEAD COOLANT PASSAGE CLEANING
19930708	10	CRANKCASE PRESSURE INCREASE DURING BLOWBY MEASUREMENT
19930708	10	ACCEPTABLE CYLINDER HEAD/JUG ASSEMBLIES
19930708	10	RING GAP MEASUREMENT - FEELER GAUGES/TAPER GAUGE
19930708	10	PISTON POSITION DURING DOWNTIME
19930708	10	OIL CONSUMPTION CALCULATIONS
19930708	10	OIL CONSUMPTION CALCULATION AFTER SHUTDOWN
19930708	10	MISSING OR BAD TEST DATA
19930708	10	TYPOGRAPHICAL ERROR IN TABLE A12
19940101	11	TEST RUN NUMBERING
19940101	11	PISTON PHOTOGRAPHS
19940101	11	USE OF AN ALIGNMENT FIXTURE IN P-TUBE AIMING
19940101	11	LOCATION OF LINER SURFACE FINISH MEASUREMENTS
19940101	11	LOCATION OF LINER BORE DIAMETER MEASUREMENTS
19940101	11	ENGINE ROTATION SPEED DURING FLUSHING
19940101	11	ACCEPTABLE CYLINDER LINER PART NUMBERS
19940101	11	CALIBRATION FREQUENCY
19940102		CATERPILLAR COOLANT DEADLINE
19940301	12	OUTLIERS AS A TEST VALIDITY CRITERIA
19940301	12	INSTRUMENTATION CALIBRATION TOLERANCES AND TIME CONSTANTS
19940316	13	FUEL DILUTION AS AN OPERATIONAL VALIDITY CRITERION
19950403		LAST USE OF 809
19950531		LAST NON-DISCRIMINATION RUN ON 810-X
19950907		FIRST LTMS TEST
19960510	96-1	1K/1N DATA DICTIONARY AND REPORT FORMS (VERSION=19960304)
19960913	96-2	BETA TESTED 1K/1N DATA DICTIONARY AND REPORT FORMS (VERSION=19960913)
19961217		FIRST 810-X DISCRIMINATION RUN
19970320	97-1	USE OF LOW SULFUR FUEL FOR THE 1N TEST
19970320	97-1	ADDITION OF END OF TEST OIL CONSUMPTION (EOTOC) AS A REPORTED PARAMETER
19970320	97-1	ENGINE PARTS WARRANTY CLAIM PROCEDURE CHANGE
19970320	97-1	LTMS REQUIREMENTS FOR CALIBRATION
19970320	97-1	CLARIFICATION OF SPECIFICATION FOR HUMIDITY CALIBRATION
19970320	97-1	CLARIFICATION OF WHEN REFEREE RATINGS ARE REQUIRED
19970320	97-1	ADDITION OF DATA DICTIONARY AND REPORT FORMS TO THE PROCEDURE
19970320	97-1	TEST REPORTING DEADLINES
19970320	97-1	EXAMPLES FOR SEVERAL OF THE REPORT FORMS
19980101	98-1	FUEL SUPPLIER NAME CHANGE
19980101	98-1	FUEL SAMPLING REQUIREMENTS
19980101	98-1	REVISED ENGINE PARTS WARRANTY PROCEDURE & FORM
19980101	98-1	810-2 DISCRIMINATION RUNS RETURNED TO LTMS/CAL RUNS, CAL PD = 1YR
19980828	98-2	RATING WORKSHEET ADDED TO TEST REPORT AS FORM 4A
19981111	98-3	ADDED AREAS FOR CLEAN TO RATING SHEETS 5 & 5A
19990419	99-1	TEST STAND INSTRUMENTATION CALIBRATION REQUIREMENTS
19990419	99-1	COOLANT SYSTEM FLUSHING REQUIREMENTS
19990419	99-1	UPDATED INTAKE AIR FILTER REQUIREMENTS
19990419	99-1	VISUAL INSPECTION OF INTAKE AIR BARRELS
19990419	99-1	RE-CALIBRATION REQUIREMENTS WHEN CRANK IS REMOVED
19990419	99-1	USE OF MOBIL EF-411 AS BUILD-UP/FLUSHING OIL
19990419	99-1	TIME ZONE FOR USE IN EOT REPORTING
19990419	99-1	EDITORIAL
20000101	00-1	810-X RUNS WILL OCCUR VOLUNTARILY ONCE PER YEAR

TIMELINE (continued):

Effective Date	Info Letter	
20020321	02-1	1K/1N DATA DICTIONARY AND REPORT FORMS (VERSION=20020107)
20030324		FIRST 811-2 TEST
20040223	04-1	1K/1N DATA DICTIONARY AND REPORT FORMS (VERSION=20040205) DD AND FORMS SEPARATED FROM THE STANDARD

RATING:

During this report period, no second referee ratings were requested. The table below summarizes the re-rates for this report period:

Rating Re-rate Summary

Total number of re-rates requested	<u>0</u>
Number of tests where lab rating was changed	0
Number of tests where referee rating was changed	0
Number of tests where no changes were made	0

LAB VISITS:

Two lab visits were completed this report period. Both labs were found not to be calibrating their coolant flow properly. One was using the method prescribed in the 1M-PC procedure. The 1M-PC method is not really a flow calibration. The other lab was calibrating only the pressure transducer attached to the flow venturi. Both labs are making corrections to their instrumentation calibration procedures.

INFORMATION LETTERS:

No information letters were issued this report period.

FUEL BATCH APPROVAL:

During this period, the following fuel batches were approved for testing: SF2921LS04, SG1521LS02, SI2821LS03, and SK0521LS02.

SUMMARY

- TGF, TLHC, BSOC, and EOTOC severity all remained within acceptable limits this period. As has generally been the case since October of 1994, the average WDK result was slightly mild.
- Precision for all parameters remained within acceptable limits throughout this report period.

SDP/sdp/astm1004.doc/mem04-103.sdp.doc

c: J. L. Zalar
 F. M. Farber
 Abdul Cassim, Caterpillar
 Chuck Dutart, Caterpillar
 Single Cylinder Diesel Surveillance Panel
<ftp://ftp.astmtmc.cmu.edu/docs/diesel/scote/semiannualreports/1k-10-2004.pdf>

Distribution: email