

MEMORANDUM:	05-001
DATE:	January 14, 2005
TO:	D02.B07 TEOST Surveillance Panel D02.B07 TEOST Mailing List D02.B07 TEOST Participants
FROM:	Tom Schofield
SUBJECT:	MTEOS Technical Update: Change in Selected Reference Oils

At the ASTM D02.B0.07 TEOST Surveillance Panel meeting of December 5, 2004, the TMC was directed to change the slate of MTEOS (MHT-4 TEOST) reference oils by adding TMC oil 434 and dropping TMC oils 433 & 1006. Effective immediately, Table 1 shows the current approved MTEOS reference oils, performance targets and acceptance bands:

Table 1								
MTEOS Reference Oil Performance Targets and Acceptance Bands								
Using Test Method Version 2 or D7097								
Effective January 12, 2005								
		-			Acceptance Bands			
			Target	Target				
	Oil ID	n	Mean*	sR*	95% Min*	95%Max*		
	74	14	13.59	3.97	5.8	21.4		
	432	8	45.18	2.73	39.8	50.5		
	434	8	30.51	2.89	24.8	36.2		

\*Total Deposits, mg

Pooled Target Total Deposits sR is now 3.42 mg

Note that while oil 434 has been added, performance targets and acceptance bands for oils 74 and 432 remain unchanged from the October 15, 2003 and February 18, 2004 approved updates (see TMC technical memos 03-101 and 04-007). The participating labs have been contacted about disposing of obsolete reference oil samples and the TMC is presently shipping new reference oil samples.

Note that the mean performance for the three selected reference oils provides a range of performance up to the GF-3/SL MHT-4 TEOST limit of 45 mg Total Deposits and around the GF-4/SM limit of 35 mg Total Deposits. Oil 434 is also considered to be an updated chemistry and is a current

Sequence IIIG (engine test) reference oil. (There is a history of using Sequence III reference oils in MTEOS monitoring.)

TMC Memo 05-001 January 14, 2005 Page 2 of 2

Performance targets for oil 74 were estimated using selected data (TMC monitored labs only) from a Subcommittee 9 Task Group round-robin (see TMC MTEOS technical memo 03-101, October 15, 2003). Performance targets for 432 and 434 were estimated from separate mini-round robins among the four TMC calibrated labs.

Because of the small initial n-sizes for establishing the targets and acceptance bands for each oil in Table 1, it is expected that all of the targets and acceptance bands will need to be re-evaluated (and then fixed) after additional calibration data is collected on each of the oils. (This was to have already been done for oils 74 and 432, but unexpected test imprecision in the TMC's calibration data, possibly due to variability in Batch D test rods, prevented an accurate performance estimate update. The TMC and the surveillance panel will evaluate if reference oil performance adjustments based on reference tests using Batch E rods will provide more accurate performance target estimates as well as a more rigorous data set.)

Please direct any inquiries to my attention.

Tom Schofield Supervisor, Analytical Testing ASTM Test Monitoring Center Carnegie Mellon University 6555 Penn Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15206-4489 Voice: 412-365-1011 Fax: 412-365-1049 Email: tms@astmtmc.cmu.edu

TMS/tms

c: M. Lane J. Zalar ftp://ftp.astmtmc.cmu.edu/docs/bench/mteos/mem05-001.pdf

Distribution: Email